

WIND DATA REPORT

Little Brewster Island, Massachusetts

December 1, 2006 – February 28, 2007

Prepared for

Massachusetts Technology Collaborative
75 North Drive
Westborough, MA 01581

by

Daniel W. Jaynes
James F. Manwell
Anthony L. Rogers
Anthony F. Ellis

June 22nd, 2007

Report template version 3.1

Renewable Energy Research Laboratory
University of Massachusetts, Amherst
160 Governors Drive, Amherst, MA 01003

www.ceere.org/rerl • (413) 545-4359 • rerl@ecs.umass.edu



NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was prepared by the Renewable Energy Research Laboratory (RERL) at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst in the course of performing work sponsored by the Renewable Energy Trust (RET), as administered by the Massachusetts Technology Collaborative (MTC). The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect those of MTC or the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and reference to any specific product, service, process, or method does not constitute an implied or expressed recommendation or endorsement of it.

Further, MTC, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and RERL make no warranties or representations, expressed or implied, as to the fitness for particular purpose or merchantability of any product, apparatus, or service, or the usefulness, completeness, or accuracy of any processes, methods or other information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report. MTC, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and the contractor make no representation that the use of any product, apparatus, process, method, or other information will not infringe privately owned rights and will assume no liability for any loss, injury, or damage directly or indirectly resulting from, or occurring in connection with, the use of information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| Notice and Acknowledgements | 1 |
| Table of Contents | 2 |
| Table of Figures | 3 |
| Executive Summary | 4 |
| SECTION 1 - Station Location | 5 |
| SECTION 2 - Instrumentation and Equipment | 5 |
| SECTION 3 - Data Summary | 6 |
| SECTION 4 - Graphs | 8 |
| Wind Speed Time Series | 9 |
| Wind Speed Distributions | 10 |
| Monthly Average Wind Speeds | 11 |
| Diurnal Average Wind Speeds | 12 |
| Turbulence Intensities | 13 |
| Wind Roses | 14 |
| SECTION 5 - Significant Meteorological Events | 15 |
| SECTION 6 - Data Collection and Maintenance | 15 |
| SECTION 7 - Data Recovery and Validation | 15 |
| Test Definitions | 15 |
| Sensor Statistics | 17 |
| APPENDIX A - Sensor Performance Report | 18 |
| Test Definitions | 18 |
| Sensor Statistics | 18 |
| APPENDIX B - Plot Data | 19 |
| Wind Speed Distribution Data | 19 |
| Monthly Average Wind Speed Data | 20 |
| Diurnal Average Wind Speed Data | 20 |
| Wind Rose Data | 20 |

TABLE OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1: Little Brewster Island Location..... | 5 |
| Figure 2 - Little Brewster Island Wind Speed Time Series Dec 1 st , 2006 to Feb 28 th , 2007..... | 9 |
| Figure 3 - Little Brewster Island Wind Speed Distribution Dec 1 st , 2006 to Feb 28 th , 2007 | 10 |
| Figure 4 - Little Brewster Island Monthly Average Wind Speed Feb 2006 – Feb 2007 | 11 |
| Figure 5 - Little Brewster Island Diurnal Average Wind Speed Dec 1 st , 2006 to Feb 28 th , 2007 | 12 |
| Figure 6 - Little Brewster Island Turbulence Intensity Dec 1 st , 2006 to Feb 28 th , 2007 | 13 |
| Figure 7 - Little Brewster Island Wind Rose Dec 1 st , 2006 to Feb 28 th , 2007..... | 14 |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

All the work presented in this Wind Data Report including installation and decommissioning of the meteorological tower and instrumentation, and the data analysis and reporting was performed by the Renewable Energy Research Laboratory (RERL) at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

This report covers wind data that is measured at a Coast Guard meteorological tower installed on Little Brewster Island, MA. The mast hosts one anemometer and one wind vane at 10 m (32.8 ft). The data are collected by a SecondWind Nomad2 data logger using a sampling rate of 1 Hz.

The quarter covered by this report is December 2006 – February 2007. The mean recorded wind speed for this quarter was 7.24 m/s (16.2 mph)¹ and the prevailing wind direction was from the west direction. The gross data recovery percentage (the actual percentage of expected data received) was 94.3% and the net data recovery percentage (the percentage of expected data which passed all of the quality assurance tests) was 94.3%. The reason for the low data recovery percentages relates to the fact that the Nomad2 data logger failed to report any data from 3:00 PM to 7:00 PM each day between February 1st 2007 and February 28th 2007. This error was associated with a corrupted configuration file. The problem persisted undetected until April 2007 when it was repaired.

Additional information about interpreting the data presented in this report can be found in the Fact Sheet, “Interpreting Your Wind Resource Data,” produced by RERL and the Massachusetts Technology Collaborative (MTC). This document is found through the RERL website:

http://www.ceere.org/rerl/about_wind/RERL_Fact_Sheet_6_Wind_resource_interpretation.pdf

SECTION 1

¹ 1m/s=2.237 mph

- Station Location



Figure 1: Little Brewster Island Location

The Little Brewster Island site is located north of the town of Hull, MA in the Boston Harbor at 42.328264 N by 70.890353 W with coordinate system NAD 83. Little Brewster Island is also commonly referred to as “Boston Light” since the island hosts a small lighthouse.

SECTION 2 - Instrumentation and Equipment

The wind monitoring equipment is mounted on a 10 m (32.8 ft) Coast Guard Meteorological tower that is of the lattice structure type. All of the sensor equipment come from NRG Systems Inc. and consists of the following items:

- Electrical enclosure box
- 1 – #40 Anemometer, standard calibration (Slope - 0.765 m/s, Offset – 0.350 m/s) located at 10 m (32.8 ft).
- 1 - #200P Wind direction vane that is located at 10m (32.8 ft).
- 2 – Sensor boom, 54” length
- Shielded sensor wire
- SecondWind Nomad2 Data Logger

SECTION 3- Data Summary

A summary of the wind speeds and wind directions measured during the reporting period is included in Table 1. Table 1 includes the mean wind speeds measured at the measurement height, the maximum instantaneous wind speed measured at the measurement height and the prevailing wind direction measured at the measurement height. These values are provided for each month of the reporting period and for the whole reporting period.

Table 1. Wind Speed and Direction Data Summary

| Date | Mean Wind Speed | Max Wind Speed | Prevailing Wind Direction |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Height Units | 10 m [m/s] | 10 m [m/s] | 10 m [m/s] |
| Dec 2006 | 6.79 | 17.88 | SSW |
| Jan 2007 | 7.24 | 16.90 | W |
| Feb 2007 | -- | -- | -- |
| Dec '06 – Feb '07 | 7.24 | 18.61 | W |

Wind data statistics in the table are reported when more than 90% of the data during the reporting period are valid. In cases when a larger amount of data are missing but the statistics are reported, the percent of the available data that are used to determine the data statistics is noted.

No measurement of wind speed or direction can be perfectly accurate. Wind speed measurement errors occur due to anemometer manufacturing variability, anemometer calibration errors, the response of anemometers to turbulence and vertical air flow and due to air flows caused by the anemometer mounting system. Every effort is made to reduce the sources of these errors. Nevertheless, the values reported in this report have an expected uncertainty of about $\pm 2\%$ or ± 0.2 m/s, whichever is greater. Wind direction measurement errors occur due to sensor measurement uncertainty, tower effects, boom alignment measurement errors and twisting of pipe sections during the raising of a pipe tower. Efforts are also made to reduce these errors, but the reported wind directions are estimated to have an uncertainty of ± 5 degrees.

A summary of the turbulence intensity at the measurement height during the reporting period is included in Table 2. These values are provided for each month of the reporting period and for the whole reporting period. Turbulence Intensity is calculated by dividing the standard deviation of the wind speed by the mean wind speed and is a measure of the gustiness of a wind resource. Lower turbulence results in lower mechanical loads on a wind turbine. Turbulence intensity varies with wind speed. The average turbulence intensity presented in Table 2 is the mean turbulence intensity when the wind speed at the measurement height is between 10 and 11 m/s.

Shear coefficients provide a measure of the change in wind speed with height. When data at multiple heights are available, shear coefficients, α , have been determined. They can be used in the following formula to estimate the average wind speed, $U(z)$, at height z , when the average wind speed, $U(z_r)$, at height z_r is known:

$$U(z) = U(z_r) \left(\frac{z}{z_r} \right)^\alpha$$

The change in wind speed with height is a very complicated relationship related to atmospheric conditions, wind speed, wind direction, time of day and time of year. This formula will not always provide the correct answer at any given site. Nevertheless the calculated shear coefficient, based on measurements at two heights, can be used to characterize the degree of increase in wind speed with height at a site.

The mean wind shear coefficient that is provided here is calculated based on the mean wind speeds in Table 1, where z_{high} and z_{low} are the heights of the higher and lower mean wind speeds used in the calculation and $U(z_{low})$ and $U(z_{high})$ are the mean wind speeds at the two heights.

$$\alpha = \log \left(\frac{U(z_{high})}{U(z_{low})} \right) / \log \left(\frac{z_{high}}{z_{low}} \right)$$

The Little Brewster Island site is not equipped with anemometers at multiple heights. Therefore the data do not yield values for the shear coefficient.

Table 2. Shear and Turbulence Intensity Data Summary

| Date | Turbulence Intensity at 10 m/s |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Height Units | 10 m [-] |
| Dec 2006 | 0.11 |
| Jan 2007 | 0.11 |
| Feb 2007 | -- |
| Dec '06 – Feb '07 | 0.12 |

SECTION 4- Graphs

This report contains several types of wind data graphs. Unless otherwise noted, each graph represents data from 1 quarter (3 months). The following graphs are included:

- Time Series – 10-minute average wind speeds are plotted against time in Figure 2. This plot represents data collected from Dec 1st, 2006 to Feb 28th, 2007 at a height of 10m. The breaks in the data that appear during the month of February 2007 are associated with the logger configuration error that is described above. The breaks correspond with missing data between the hours of 3:00 PM and 9:00 PM each day for the month of February.
- Wind Speed Distribution – A histogram plot giving the percentage of time that the wind is at a given wind speed is shown in Figure 3. This plot represents data collected from Dec 1st, 2006 to Feb 28th, 2007 at a height of 10m.
- Monthly Average – A plot of the monthly average wind speed over a 12-month period is shown in Figure 4. This graph shows the trends in the wind speed over the year. This plot represents data collected from February 2006 to February 2007 at the 10m tower. The monthly averages for June 2006 and February 2007 are missing due to periods during which more than 10% of the wind speed data were missing. Also, note that the average that is presented for February 2006 does not represent the entire month of data since the tower was erected on February 9th 2006.
- Diurnal – A plot of the average wind speed for each hour of the day is shown in Figure 5. This plot represents data collected from Dec 1st, 2006 to Feb 28th, 2007 at a height of 10m.
- Turbulence Intensity – A plot of turbulence intensity as a function of wind speed is shown in Figure 6. Turbulence Intensity is calculated as the standard deviation of the wind speed divided by the wind speed and is a measure of the gustiness of a wind resource. Lower turbulence results in lower mechanical loads on a wind turbine. In general, turbulence intensities range from 0.1 to 0.4. In the graph below, the turbulence intensity flattens out between 6 and 7 m/s (13.4 and 15.7 mph). See Figure 6 for data representing Dec 1st, 2006 to Feb 28th, 2007. This plot presents data at 10 meters.
- Wind Rose – A plot, by compass direction showing the percentage of time that the wind comes from a given direction and the average wind speed in that direction. This plot, shown in Figure 7, represents data collected from Dec 1st, 2006 to Feb 28th, 2007 at a height of 10m.

Data for the wind speed histograms, monthly and diurnal average plots, and wind roses are included in APPENDIX B.

Wind Speed Time Series




Figure 2 - Little Brewster Island Wind Speed Time Series Dec 1st, 2006 to Feb 28th, 2007

Wind Speed Distributions




Figure 3 - Little Brewster Island Wind Speed Distribution Dec 1st, 2006 to Feb 28th, 2007

Monthly Average Wind Speeds




Figure 4 - Little Brewster Island Monthly Average Wind Speed Feb 2006 – Feb 2007

Diurnal Average Wind Speeds




Figure 5 - Little Brewster Island Diurnal Average Wind Speed Dec 1st, 2006 to Feb 28th, 2007

Turbulence Intensities





Figure 6 - Little Brewster Island Turbulence Intensity Dec 1st, 2006 to Feb 28th, 2007

Wind Roses

Little Brewster Island Wind Rose, 10m



Plot by DQMS3 - dqms@dqms.com

Figure 7 - Little Brewster Island Wind Rose Dec 1st, 2006 to Feb 28th, 2007

SECTION 5 - Significant Meteorological Events

In December 2006, January and February 2007 there were no sustained meteorological events that would have caused notable fluctuations in wind speed measurements. The average wind speeds for the general Boston area (including the Boston Harbor) were close to normal.

Source: <http://www.erh.noaa.gov/box/MonthlyClimate2.shtml>

SECTION 6 - Data Collection and Maintenance

The following maintenance/equipment problems occurred during the report period, and the following corrective actions taken:

- A corrupted logger configuration file caused the logger to output no data between the hours of 3:00 PM and 7:00 PM each day of the last month of the period summarized in this report (February 1 2007 to February 28 2007). Corrective action was taken in April 2007 and this problem is now resolved. Because the amount of missing data in the month of February 2007 is so large, the statistics for this month are not included in this report.

SECTION 7 - Data Recovery and Validation

All raw wind data are subjected to a series of tests and filters to weed out data that are faulty or corrupted. Definitions of these quality assurance (QA) controls are given below under Test Definitions and Sensor Statistics. These control filters were designed to automate the quality control process and used many of the previous hand-worked data sets made at UMass to affect a suitable emulation. The gross percentage of data recovered (ratio of the number of raw data points received to data points expected) and net percentage (ratio of raw data points which passed all QA control tests to data points expected) are shown below.

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| Gross Data Recovered [%] | 94.3 |
| Net Data Recovered [%] | 94.3 |

Test Definitions

All raw data were subjected to a series of validation tests, as described below. The sensors tested and the parameters specific to each sensor are given in the Sensor Performance Report which is included in APPENDIX A. Data which were flagged as invalid were not included in the statistics presented in this report.

MinMax Test: All sensors are expected to report data values within a range specified by the sensor and logger manufacturers. If a value falls outside this range, it is flagged as invalid. A data value from the sensor listed in Test Field 1 (TF1) is flagged if it is less than Factor 1 (F1) or greater than Factor 2. This test has been applied to the following sensors (as applicable): wind speed, wind speed standard deviation, wind direction, temperature, and solar insolation.

$$F1 > TF1 > F2$$

MinMaxT Test: This is a MinMax test for wind direction standard deviation with different ranges applied for high and low wind speeds. A wind direction standard deviation data value (TF1) is flagged either if it is less than Factor 1, if the wind speed (TF2) is less than Factor 4 and the wind direction standard deviation is greater than Factor 2, or if the wind speed is greater than or equal to Factor 4 and the wind direction standard deviation is greater than Factor 3.

$$\begin{aligned} & (TF1 < F1) \\ & \text{or } (TF2 < F4 \text{ and } TF1 > F2) \\ & \text{or } (TF2 \geq F4 \text{ and } TF1 > F3) \end{aligned}$$

Icing Test: An icing event occurs when ice collects on a sensor and degrades its performance. Icing events are characterized by the simultaneous measurements of near-zero standard deviation of wind direction, non-zero wind speed, and near- or below-freezing temperatures. Wind speed, wind speed standard deviation, wind direction, and wind direction standard deviation data values are flagged if the wind direction standard deviation (CF1) is less than or equal to Factor 1 (F1), the wind speed (TF1) is greater than Factor 2 (F2), and the temperature (CF2) is less than Factor 3 (F3). To exit an icing event, the wind direction standard deviation must be greater than Factor 4.

$$CF1 \leq F1 \text{ and } TF1 > F2 \text{ and } CF2 < F3$$

CompareSensors Test: Where primary and redundant sensors are used, it is possible to determine when one of the sensors is not performing properly. For anemometers, poor performance is characterized by low data values. Therefore, if one sensor of the pair reports values significantly below the other, the low values are flagged. At low wind speeds (Test Fields 1 and 2 less than or equal to Factor 3) wind speed data are flagged if the absolute difference between the two wind speeds is greater than Factor 1. At high wind speeds (Test Fields 1 or 2 greater than Factor 3) wind speed data are flagged if the absolute value of the ratio of the two wind speeds is greater is greater than Factor 2.

$$\begin{aligned} & [TF1 \leq F3 \text{ and } TF2 \leq F3 \text{ and } \text{abs}(TF1 - TF2) > F1] \\ & \text{or } [(TF1 > F3 \text{ or } TF2 > F3) \text{ and } (\text{abs}(1 - TF1 / TF2) > F2 \text{ or } \text{abs}(1 - TF2 / TF1) > F2)] \end{aligned}$$

Sensor Statistics

A summary of the results of the data collection and filtering are given in the Sensor Performance Report which is included in APPENDIX A. The following categories of information, tabulated for each sensor, are included in that report.

Expected Data Points: the total number of sample intervals between the start and end dates (inclusive).

Actual Data Points: the total number of data points recorded between the start and end dates.

% Data Recovered: the ratio of actual and expected data points (this is the *gross data recovered percentage*).

Hours Out of Range: total number of hours for which data were flagged according to MinMax and MinMaxT tests. These tests flag data which fall outside of an expected range.

Hours of Icing: total number of hours for which data were flagged according to Icing tests. This test uses the standard deviation of wind direction, air temperature, and wind speed to determine when sensor icing has occurred.

Hours of Fault: total number of hours for which data were flagged according to CompareSensors tests. These tests compare two sensors (e.g. primary and redundant anemometers installed at the same height) and flag data points where one sensor differs significantly from the other.

% Data Good: the filter results are subtracted from the gross data recovery percentage to yield the *net data recovered percentage*.

APPENDIX A - Sensor Performance Report

Test Definitions

| LB_expRaw | Data Quality Report | 2006-03-01 to 2006-06-01 | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| TestOrder | TestField1 | TestField2 | TestField3 | CalcField1 | CalcField2 | TestType | Factor1 | Factor2 | Factor3 | Factor4 |
| 1 | | | | | | TimeTest Insert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Anem10aMS | | | | | MinMax | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Anem10aMS | | | | | MinMax | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | AnemSD10aMS | | | | | MinMax | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | AnemSD10aMS | | | | | MinMax | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | Vane10aDEG | | | | | MinMax | 0 | 359.9 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | Vane10aDEG | | | | | MinMax | 0 | 359.9 | 0 | 0 |
| 200 | VaneSD10aDEG | Anem10aMS | | | | MinMaxT | 0 | 100 | 100 | 10 |
| 400 | Anem10aMS | Anem10aMS | | | | CompareSensors | 1 | 0.25 | 3 | 0 |

Sensor Statistics

| Sensor | Expected Data Points | Actual Data Points | % Data Recovered | Hours Out of Range | Hours of Fault | % Data Good |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Anem10aMS | 12960 | 12224 | 94.321 | 0 | 0 | 94.321 |
| AnemSD10aMS | 12960 | 12224 | 94.321 | 0 | 0 | 94.321 |
| Vane10aDEG | 12960 | 12225 | 94.329 | 0.167 | 0 | 94.321 |
| VaneSD10aDEG | 12960 | 12224 | 94.321 | 0 | 0 | 94.321 |
| Total | 51840 | 48897 | 94.323 | 0.167 | 0 | 94.321 |

APPENDIX B - Plot Data

Wind Speed Distribution Data

| Anem10ams | Percent |
|-----------|---------|
| 0.5 | 1.1 |
| 1.5 | 3.03 |
| 2.5 | 5.98 |
| 3.5 | 7.89 |
| 4.5 | 10.22 |
| 5.5 | 10.51 |
| 6.5 | 11 |
| 7.5 | 10.87 |
| 8.5 | 10.14 |
| 9.5 | 8.65 |
| 10.5 | 6.03 |
| 11.5 | 4.64 |
| 12.5 | 4.11 |
| 13.5 | 2.83 |
| 14.5 | 1.69 |
| 15.5 | 0.83 |
| 16.5 | 0.26 |
| 17.5 | 0.2 |
| 18.5 | 0.03 |
| 19.5 | 0 |
| 20.5 | 0 |
| 21.5 | 0 |
| 22.5 | 0 |
| 23.5 | 0 |
| 24.5 | 0 |

Monthly Average Wind Speed Data

| Date | 10 min Mean [m/s] |
|-------------|--------------------------|
| Feb 2006 | 8.1 |
| Mar | 6.7 |
| Apr | 6.0 |
| May | -- |
| Jun | 5.55 |
| Jul | 4.92 |
| Aug | 4.38 |
| Sep | 5.03 |
| Oct | 6.54 |
| Nov | 5.67 |
| Dec | 6.79 |
| Jan 2007 | 7.24 |
| Feb | -- |

Wind Rose Data

| Direction | Mean Wind Speed [m/s] | Percent Time [%] |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| N | 5.03 | 1.78 |
| NNE | 4.73 | 0.97 |
| NE | 6.5 | 1.09 |
| ENE | 6.73 | 1.45 |
| E | 4.74 | 1.19 |
| ESE | 4.21 | 2.26 |
| SE | 3.48 | 2.66 |
| SSE | 4.36 | 2.99 |
| S | 6.07 | 8.97 |
| SSW | 6.77 | 13.58 |
| SW | 7.24 | 13.04 |
| WSW | 8.74 | 12.82 |
| W | 9.29 | 14.65 |
| WNW | 7.96 | 12.1 |
| NW | 6.9 | 6.89 |
| NNW | 6.53 | 3.6 |

Diurnal Average Wind Speed Data

| hr | Wind Speed [m/s] |
|------|---------------------|
| 0.5 | 7.14 |
| 1.5 | 7.13 |
| 2.5 | 7.21 |
| 3.5 | 7.17 |
| 4.5 | 7.26 |
| 5.5 | 7.3 |
| 6.5 | 7.47 |
| 7.5 | 7.49 |
| 8.5 | 7.32 |
| 9.5 | 7.11 |
| 10.5 | 7.27 |
| 11.5 | 7.45 |
| 12.5 | 7.48 |
| 13.5 | 7.27 |
| 14.5 | 7.24 |
| 15.5 | 6.98 |
| 16.5 | 6.99 |
| 17.5 | 6.76 |
| 18.5 | 6.72 |
| 19.5 | 7.21 |
| 20.5 | 7.29 |
| 21.5 | 7.54 |
| 22.5 | 7.42 |
| 23.5 | 7.06 |