WIND DATA REPORT

Mass Turnpike Authority Blandford, MA

July 2011 – September 2011

Prepared for

Massachusetts Clean Energy Center 55 Summer Street, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02110

by

Eric Morgan James F. Manwell Anthony F. Ellis

October 25, 2011

Report template version 3.1.2

Wind Energy Center University of Massachusetts, Amherst 160 Governors Drive, Amherst, MA 01003

www.umass.edu/windenergy

(413) 545-4359

rerl@ecs.umass.edu





NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was prepared by the Wind Energy Center (WEC) at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst in the course of performing work sponsored by the Renewable Energy Trust (RET), as administered by the Massachusetts Clean Energy Center (MassCEC). The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect those of MassCEC or the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and reference to any specific product, service, process, or method does not constitute an implied or expressed recommendation or endorsement of it.

Further, MassCEC, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and the Wind Energy Center make no warranties or representations, expressed or implied, as to the fitness for particular purpose or merchantability of any product, apparatus, or service, or the usefulness, completeness, or accuracy of any processes, methods or other information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report. MassCEC, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and the Wind Energy Center make no representation that the use of any product, apparatus, process, method, or other information will not infringe privately owned rights and will assume no liability for any loss, injury, or damage directly or indirectly resulting from, or occurring in connection with, the use of information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Notice and Acknowledgements	1
Table of Contents	2
Table of Figures	3
Executive Summary	4
SECTION 1 - Station Location	5
SECTION 2 - Instrumentation and Equipment	5
SECTION 3 - Data Summary	6
SECTION 4 - Graphs	8
Wind Speed Time Series.	9
Wind Speed Distributions	9
Monthly Average Wind Speeds	. 10
Diurnal Average Wind Speeds	. 10
Turbulence Intensities	. 11
Wind Roses	. 12
SECTION 5 - Significant Meteorological Events	
SECTION 6 - Data Collection and Maintenance	. 13
SECTION 7 - Data Recovery and Validation	. 13
Test Definitions	. 14
Sensor Statistics	. 15
APPENDIX A - Sensor Performance Report	. 16
Test Definitions	. 16
Sensor Statistics	. 16
APPENDIX B - Plot Data	. 17
Distribution Data	. 17
Monthly Average Wind Speed Data	
Diurnal Average Wind Speed Data	
Wind Rose Data	

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Site Location	5
Figure 2 - Wind Speed Time Series, July – September 2011	9
Figure 3 – Wind Speed Distribution, July – September 2011	9
Figure 4 – Monthly Average Wind Speed, January – September 2011	10
Figure 5 – Diurnal Average Wind Speed, July – September 2011U	10
UFigure 6 – Turbulence Intensity, July – September 2011	11
Figure 7 – Wind Rose, July – September 2011	12
Figure 8 – Time series wind data for the weekend on August 27-28 during Hurricane Irene	13

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

All the work presented in this Wind Data Report including installation and decommissioning of the meteorological tower and instrumentation, and the data analysis and reporting was performed by the Wind Energy Center (WEC) at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

Two anemometers and one wind vane are mounted each at the 60 m (197 ft) tower height and at the 40 m (131 ft) tower height. A temperature sensor was installed near the base of the tower.

This report summarizes the wind data collected during the summer of 2011, between July and September. The mean recorded wind speed was 4.53 m/s (10.13 mph*) at 60 meters, and the prevailing wind direction was from the west. The average wind shear component was 0.58 and the average turbulence intensity at 60 meters was 0.20.

The gross data recovery percentage (the actual percentage of expected data received) was 100% and the net data recovery percentage (the percentage of expected data which passed all of the quality assurance tests) was 98.82%.

Additional information about interpreting the data presented in this report can be found in the Fact Sheet, "Interpreting Your Wind Resource Data," produced by the Wind Energy Center (WEC) and the Massachusetts Technology Collaborative (MTC). This document is found through the RERL website:

http://www.umass.edu/windenergy/publications/published/communityWindFactSheets/R ERL Fact Sheet 6 Wind resource interpretation.pdf

* 1 m/s = 2.237 mph.

SECTION 1 - Station Location

This update summarizes the quarterly data results for the Blandford monitoring site in Blandford, MA. Site coordinates are 42-13-22.8 North, 72-58-4.8 West per the WGS84 standard (the World Geodetic System 1984, an international standard for absolute localization with earthly coordinates). The site is located on the MTA tower in Blandford, MA. The picture below shows the location of the tower, with the red circle indicating the location of the tower base.



Figure 1 – Site Location

SECTION 2 - Instrumentation and Equipment

The wind monitoring equipment is mounted on an 80 m (262 ft) lattice communications tower. The wind monitoring equipment comes from several vendors and consists of the following items:

From July 1 – July 28:

- NRG Symphonie data logger and I-pack cellular modem
- 1 Second Wind C3 anemometer, std calibration (Slope 0.765 m/s, offset 0.35 m/s) located at 60 m (196.85 ft)
- 1 NRG Max 40 anemometer, std calibration (Slope 0.765 m/s, offset 0.35 m/s) located at 40m (131.2 ft)

- 1 Risoe # 5171 calibrated cup anemometer located at 60 m (164 ft) (Slope 0.620 m/s, offset 0.27m/s)
- 1 RM Young 05103 propeller anemometer and vane located at 40 m (131.2 ft)
- 1 NRG #200P Wind direction vane. The vane is located at 60 m (196.85 ft)
- NRG 110S temperature sensor located near the base of the tower

From July 28-present:

- NRG Symphonie data logger and I-pack cellular modem
- 3 NRG Max 40 anemometer, std calibration (Slope 0.765 m/s, offset 0.35 m/s) located at 40m (131.2 ft)
- 1 Risoe # 6803 calibrated cup anemometer located at 60 m (164 ft) (Slope 0.62643 m/s, offset 0.21002 m/s)
- 2 NRG #200P Wind direction vane. One vane is located at 60 m (196.85 ft), the other vane is located at 40m (131.2 ft)
- NRG 110S temperature sensor located near the base of the tower

SECTION 3- Data Summary

A summary of the wind speeds and wind directions measured during the reporting period is included in Table 1. Table 1 includes the mean wind speeds measured at each measurement height, the maximum instantaneous wind speed measured at each measurement height and the prevailing wind direction measured at each measurement height. These values are provided for each month of the reporting period and for the whole reporting period.

Wind data statistics in the table are reported when more than 90% of the data during the reporting period are valid. In cases when a large amount of data is missing, the percent of the available data that is used to determine the data statistics is noted.

No measurement of wind speed or direction can be perfectly accurate. Wind speed measurement errors occur due to anemometer manufacturing variability, anemometer calibration errors, the response of anemometers to turbulence and vertical air flow and due to air flows caused by the anemometer mounting system. Every effort is made to

reduce the sources of these errors. Nevertheless, the values reported in this report have an expected uncertainty of about \pm 2% or \pm 0.2 m/s, whichever is greater. Wind direction measurement errors occur due to sensor measurement uncertainty, tower effects, boom alignment measurement errors and twisting of pipe sections during the raising of a pipe tower. Efforts are also made to reduce these errors, but the reported wind directions are estimated to have an uncertainty of \pm 0.5 degrees.

Table 1. Wind Speed and Direction Data Summary

Date	Mean Wind Speed	Max Wind Speed	Prevailing Wind Direction	Mean Wind Speed	Max Wind Speed	Prevailing Wind Direction
Height Units	60 m [m/s]	60 m [m/s]	60 m [m/s]	40 m [m/s]	40 m [m/s]	40 m [m/s]
July 2011	4.62	13.28	W	3.72	11.14	WNW
Aug 2011	4.81	16.97	W	3.79	14.93	W
Sept 2011	4.17	11.76	SW	3.21	9.81	SW
July 2011 - Sept 2011	4.53	16.97	w	3.58	14.93	W

A summary of the turbulence intensity and mean wind shear measured at each measurement height during the reporting period is included in Table 2. These values are provided for each month of the reporting period and for the whole reporting period. Turbulence Intensity is calculated by dividing the standard deviation of the wind speed by the mean wind speed and is a measure of the gustiness of a wind resource. Lower turbulence results in lower mechanical loads on a wind turbine. Turbulence intensity varies with wind speed. The average turbulence intensity presented in Table 2 is the mean turbulence intensity when the wind speed at each measurement height is between 10 and 11 m/s

Shear coefficients provide a measure of the change in wind speed with height. When data at multiple heights are available, shear coefficients, α , have been determined. They can be used in the following formula to estimate the average wind speed, U(z), at height z, when the average wind speed, $U(z_r)$, at height z_r is known:

$$U(z)=U(z_r)\left(\frac{z}{z_r}\right)^{\alpha}$$

The change in wind speed with height is a very complicated relationship related to atmospheric conditions, wind speed, wind direction, time of day and time of year. This formula will not always provide the correct answer at any given site. Nevertheless the

calculated shear coefficient, based on measurements at two heights, can be used to characterize the degree of increase in wind speed with height at a site.

The mean wind shear coefficient that is provided here is calculated based on the mean wind speeds in Table 1, where z_{high} and z_{low} are the heights of the higher and lower mean wind speeds used in the calculation and $U(z_{low})$ and $U(z_{high})$ are the mean wind speeds at the two heights.

$$\alpha = \log \left(\frac{U(z_{high})}{U(z_{low})} \right) / \log \left(\frac{z_{high}}{z_{low}} \right)$$

Table 2. Shear and Turbulence Intensity Data Summary

Date Height Units	Turbulence Intensity at 10 m/s 60 m [-]	Turbulence Intensity at 10 m/s 40 m [-]	Mean Wind Shear Coefficient, α Between 60 m and 40 m [-]
July 2011	0.18	0.19	0.53
Aug 2011	0.21	0.25	0.59
Sept 2011	0.18	NaN	0.64
July 2011 -Mar 2011	0.207	0.23	0.58

SECTION 4- Graphs

This report contains several types of wind data graphs. Unless otherwise noted, each graph represents data from 1 quarter (3 months). The following graphs are included:

- Time Series 10-minute average wind speeds are plotted against time.
- Wind Speed Distribution A histogram plot giving the percentage of time that the wind is at a given wind speed.
- Monthly Average A plot of the monthly average wind speed over a 12-month period. This graph shows the trends in the wind speed over the year.
- Diurnal A plot of the average wind speed for each hour of the day.
- Turbulence Intensity A plot of turbulence intensity as a function of wind speed. Turbulence Intensity is calculated as the standard deviation of the wind speed

divided by the wind speed and is a measure of the gustiness of a wind resource. Lower turbulence results in lower mechanical loads on a wind turbine.

Wind Rose – A plot, by compass direction showing the percentage of time that
the wind comes from a given direction and the average wind speed in that
direction.

Data for the wind speed histograms, monthly and diurnal average plots, and wind roses are included in APPENDIX B.

Wind Speed Time Series




Figure 2 - Wind Speed Time Series, July - September 2011

Wind Speed Distributions




Figure 3 – Wind Speed Distribution, July – September 2011

Monthly Average Wind Speeds




Figure 4 - Monthly Average Wind Speed, January - September 2011

Diurnal Average Wind Speeds




Figure 5 – Diurnal Average Wind Speed, July – September 2011

Turbulence Intensities




Figure 6 – Turbulence Intensity, July – September 2011

Wind Roses




Figure 7 – Wind Rose, July – September 2011

SECTION 5 - Significant Meteorological Events

Hurricane Irene struck New England during the weekend of August 27-28 causing high winds and flooding throughout that region. Figure 8 shows the time series wind data for the event. The prevailing wind direction was from the west.



Figure 8 – Time series wind data for the weekend on August 27-28 during Hurricane Irene.

SECTION 6 - Data Collection and Maintenance

All sensors were replaced on July 28, 2011. See Section 2 for a complete list of the new sensors and their configuration.

SECTION 7 - Data Recovery and Validation

All raw wind data are subjected to a series of tests and filters to weed out data that are faulty or corrupted. Definitions of these quality assurance (QA) controls are given below under Test Definitions and Sensor Statistics. These control filters were designed to automate the quality control process and used many of the previous hand-worked data sets made at UMass to affect a suitable emulation. The gross percentage of data recovered (ratio of the number of raw data points received to data points expected) and net percentage (ratio of raw data points which passed all QA control tests to data points expected) are shown below.

Gross Data Recovered [%]	100 %
Net Data Recovered [%]	98.82 %

Test Definitions

All raw data were subjected to a series of validation tests, as described below. The sensors tested and the parameters specific to each sensor are given in the Sensor Performance Report which is included in APPENDIX A. Data which were flagged as invalid were not included in the statistics presented in this report.

MinMax Test: All sensors are expected to report data values within a range specified by the sensor and logger manufacturers. If a value falls outside this range, it is flagged as invalid. A data value from the sensor listed in Test Field 1 (TF1) is flagged if it is less than Factor 1 (F1) or greater than Factor 2. This test has been applied to the following sensors (as applicable): wind speed, wind speed standard deviation, wind direction, temperature, and solar insolation.

MinMaxT Test: This is a MinMax test for wind direction standard deviation with different ranges applied for high and low wind speeds. A wind direction standard deviation data value (TF1) is flagged either if it is less than Factor 1, if the wind speed (TF2) is less than Factor 4 and the wind direction standard deviation is greater than Factor 2, or if the wind speed is greater than or equal to Factor 4 and the wind direction standard deviation is greater than Factor 3.

$$(TF1 < F1)$$

or $(TF2 < F4 \text{ and } TF1 > F2)$
or $(TF2 \ge F4 \text{ and } TF1 > F3)$

Icing Test: An icing event occurs when ice collects on a sensor and degrades its performance. Icing events are characterized by the simultaneous measurements of near-zero standard deviation of wind direction, non-zero wind speed, and near- or below-freezing temperatures. Wind speed, wind speed standard deviation, wind direction, and wind direction standard deviation data values are flagged if the wind direction standard deviation (CF1) is less than or equal to Factor 1 (F1), the wind speed (TF1) is greater than Factor 2 (F2), and the temperature (CF2) is less than Factor 3 (F3). To exit an icing event, the wind direction standard deviation must be greater than Factor 4.

$$CF1 \le F1$$
 and $TF1 > F2$ and $CF2 < F3$

CompareSensors Test: Where primary and redundant sensors are used, it is possible to determine when one of the sensors is not performing properly. For anemometers, poor performance is characterized by low data values. Therefore, if one sensor of the pair reports values significantly below the other, the low values are flagged. At low wind speeds (Test Fields 1 and 2 less than or equal to Factor 3) wind speed data are flagged if the absolute difference between the two wind speeds is greater than Factor 1. At high

wind speeds (Test Fields 1 or 2 greater than Factor 3) wind speed data are flagged if the absolute value of the ratio of the two wind speeds is greater is greater than Factor 2.

[TF1
$$\leq$$
 F3 and TF2 \leq F3 and abs(TF1 - TF2) $>$ F1] or [(TF1 $>$ F3 or TF2 $>$ F3) and (abs(1 - TF1 / TF2) $>$ F2 or abs(1 - TF2 / TF1) $>$ F2)]

Sensor Statistics

A summary of the results of the data collection and filtering are given in the Sensor Performance Report which is included in APPENDIX A. The following categories of information, tabulated for each sensor, are included in that report.

Expected Data Points: the total number of sample intervals between the start and end dates (inclusive).

Actual Data Points: the total number of data points recorded between the start and end dates.

% Data Recovered: the ratio of actual and expected data points (this is the gross data recovered percentage).

Hours Out of Range: total number of hours for which data were flagged according to MinMax and MinMaxT tests. These tests flag data which fall outside of an expected range.

Hours of Icing: total number of hours for which data were flagged according to Icing tests. This test uses the standard deviation of wind direction, air temperature, and wind speed to determine when sensor icing has occurred.

Hours of Fault: total number of hours for which data were flagged according to CompareSensors tests. These tests compare two sensors (e.g. primary and redundant anemometers installed at the same height) and flag data points where one sensor differs significantly from the other.

% Data Good: the filter results are subtracted from the gross data recovery percentage to yield the *net data recovered percentage*.

APPENDIX A - Sensor Performance Report

Test Definitions

Blandford Test Definitions

TestOrder	TestField1	TestField2	TestField3	CalcField1	CalcField2	CalcField3	TestType	Factor1	Factor2	Factor3	Factor4
1	Channel 4>WS_max						MinMax	0	50	0	0
2	Channel 6>WS_max						MinMax	0	50	0	0
3	Channel 5>WS_max						MinMax	0	50	0	0
4	Channel 2>WS_max						MinMax	0	50	0	0
5	Channel 4>WS_val	Channel 6>WS_val					CompareSensors	1	0.25	3	0
6	Channel 4>WS_val	Channel 4>WS_SD	Channel 8>WD_val	Channel 8>WD_SD	Channel 10>T_val		Icing	0.5	1	2	4
7	Channel 6>WS_val	Channel 6>WS_SD	Channel 8>WD_val	Channel 8>WD_SD	Channel 10>T_val		Icing	0.5	1	2	4
8	Channel 2>WS_val	Channel 2>WS_SD	Channel 7>WD_val	Channel 7>WD_SD	Channel 10>T_val		Icing	0.5	1	2	4

Sensor Statistics

Blandford July 2011 Sensor through Sept Statistics 2011

	Expected Data Points	Actual Data Points	% Data Recovered	Hours Out of Range	Hours of Icing	Hours of Fault	%Data Good
Channel 10	13248	13092	98.822	0	0	0	98.822
Channel 11	13248	13092	98.822	0	0	0	98.822
Channel 4	13248	13092	98.822	0	0	0	98.822
Channel 8	13248	13092	98.822	0	0	0	98.822
Channel 6	13248	13092	98.822	0	0	1451.167	33.099
Channel 7	13248	13092	98.822	0	0	0	98.822
Channel 5	13248	13092	98.822	0	0	0	98.822
Channel 2	13248	13092	98.822	0	0	0	98.822
Total	105984	104736	98.822	0	0	1451.167	90.607

APPENDIX B - Plot Data

Distribution Data

Bin Center [m/s]	Percent Time [%]
0.5	0.92
1.5	6.48
2.5	14.02
3.5	20.26
4.5	21.5
5.5	17.11
6.5	10.46
7.5	5.25
8.5	2.08
9.5	0.73
10.5	0.44
11.5	0.24
12.5	0.21
13.5	0.08
14.5	0.11
15.5	0.05
16.5	0.07

Monthly Average Wind Speed Data

Month	Mean Wind Speed [m/s]
Jan-11	4.94
Feb-11	5.834
Mar-11	5.93
July-11	5.743
Aug-11	4.917
Jun-11	4.471
Jul-11	4.615
Aug-11	4.806
Sep-11	4.165

Diurnal Average Wind Speed Data

Hour of Day	Mean Wind Speed [m/s]
0	4.78
1	4.81
2	4.78
3	4.87
4	4.66
5	4.3
6	4.08
7	4.04
8	4.06
9	4.2
10	4.3
11	4.32
12	4.38
13	4.57
14	4.68
15	4.65
16	4.58
17	4.33
18	4.56
19	4.69
20	4.85
21	4.9
22	4.75
23	4.66

Wind Rose Data

Bin Center [deg]	Percent Time [%]	Mean Wind Speed [m/s]
0	2.82	3.34
22.5	4.8	3.42
45	4.19	4.06
67.5	4.1	3.81
90	2.64	3.87
112.5	2.51	3.69
135	4.26	3.79
157.5	4.86	4.03
180	6.64	4.58
202.5	8.93	4.79
225	11.03	5.1
247.5	8.75	4.64
270	13.43	5.38
292.5	11.29	5.18
315	6.06	4.32
337.5	3.7	3.43