

WIND DATA REPORT

Ashburnham, MA

September 2009 to November 2009

Prepared for

Massachusetts Department of Energy Resources
100 Cambridge Street, Suite 1020
Boston, MA 02114

by

William Batson
James F. Manwell
Utama Abdulwahid
Anthony F. Ellis

January 15, 2010

Report template version 3.1.2

Renewable Energy Research Laboratory
University of Massachusetts, Amherst
160 Governors Drive, Amherst, MA 01003

www.ceere.org/rerl • (413) 545-4359 • rerl@ecs.umass.edu



NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was prepared by the Renewable Energy Research Laboratory (RERL) at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst in the course of performing work sponsored by the Massachusetts Department of Energy Resources (DOER). The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect those of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and reference to any specific product, service, process, or method does not constitute an implied or expressed recommendation or endorsement of it.

Further, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and RERL make no warranties or representations, expressed or implied, as to the fitness for particular purpose or merchantability of any product, apparatus, or service, or the usefulness, completeness, or accuracy of any processes, methods or other information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and RERL make no representation that the use of any product, apparatus, process, method, or other information will not infringe privately owned rights and will assume no liability for any loss, injury, or damage directly or indirectly resulting from, or occurring in connection with, the use of information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Notice and Acknowledgements	1
Table of Contents	2
Table of Figures	3
Executive Summary	4
SECTION 1 - Station Location	5
SECTION 2 - Instrumentation and Equipment	6
SECTION 3 - Data Summary	6
SECTION 4 - Graphs	8
Wind Speed Time Series	9
Wind Speed Distributions	9
Monthly Average Wind Speeds	10
Diurnal Average Wind Speeds	10
Turbulence Intensities	11
Wind Rose	12
SECTION 5 - Significant Meteorological Events	13
SECTION 6 - Data Collection and Maintenance	13
SECTION 7 - Data Recovery and Validation	13
Test Definitions	13
Sensor Statistics	14
APPENDIX A - Sensor Performance Report	16
Test Definitions	16
Sensor Statistics	17
APPENDIX B - Plot Data	18
Wind Speed Distribution Data	18
Monthly Average Wind Speed Data	19
Wind Rose Data	20
Diurnal Average Wind Speed Data	21

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Site Location.....	5
Figure 2 – Wind Speed Time Series, Sept 1, 2009 – Nov 30, 2009	9
Figure 3 – Wind Speed Distribution, Sept 1, 2009 – Nov 30, 2009	9
Figure 4 – Monthly Average Wind Speed, February, 2009 – November, 2009.....	10
Figure 5 – Diurnal Average Wind Speeds, Sept 1, 2009 – Nov 30, 2009	10
Figure 6 – Turbulence Intensity vs. Wind Speed, Sept 1, 2009 – Nov 30, 2009.....	11
Figure 7 – Wind Rose, Sept 1, 2009 – Nov 30, 2009	12

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

All the work presented in this Wind Data Report including installation and decommissioning of the meteorological tower and instrumentation, and the data analysis and reporting was performed by the Renewable Energy Research Laboratory (RERL) at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

Wind monitoring equipment was installed at the Ashburnham site in November 2008. The base of the 50 meter meteorological tower is installed 422 meters above sea level. Anemometers and wind direction vanes are installed at 38 and 49 m (128 and 160.8 ft) above the tower base. There are redundant anemometers at both heights. There is a temperature sensor installed near the base of the tower.

This report summarizes the wind data collected during the fall of 2009, between September 2009 and November 2009. The mean recorded wind speed was 5.49 m/s (12.28 mph*) at 49 m and the prevailing wind direction was from the west, although there were also a high percentage of winds from the east-northeast, especially in the month of November. The average turbulence intensity between 10 m/s and 11 m/s at 49 m height was 0.176.

The gross data recovery percentage (the actual percentage of expected data received) was 100% and the net data recovery percentage (the percentage of expected data which passed all of the quality assurance tests) was 93.661%.

Additional information about interpreting the data presented in this report can be found in the Fact Sheet, "Interpreting Your Wind Resource Data," produced by RERL and the Massachusetts Technology Collaborative (MTC). This document is found through the RERL website:

http://www.ceere.org/rerl/about_wind/RERL_Fact_Sheet_6_Wind_resource_interpretation.pdf

* 1 m/s = 2.237 mph.

SECTION 1 - Station Location

The Ashburnham monitoring tower is located on a hill approximately 600 meters northeast from the intersection of Wag Hill and Byfield Roads in Ashburnham, MA. The 50 m (164 ft) tower is located at $42^{\circ} 40' 37.74''$ North, $71^{\circ} 51' 45.60''$ West. The tower base is 422 m (1,384.5 ft) above sea level. The tower is identified with a yellow box in the center of Figure 1 below.




Figure 1 – Site Location

SECTION 2 - Instrumentation and Equipment

The wind monitoring equipment is mounted on a 50 m (164 ft) meteorological tower. The wind monitoring equipment comes from NRG systems and consists of the following items:

- NRG Symphonie data logger with internal temperature.
- 4 – NRG #40 Anemometers, standard calibration (Slope – 0.765 m/s, Offset – 0.350 m/s). Two anemometers are located at 49 m (160.8 ft) and two anemometers are located at 39 m (128 ft).
- 2 – NRG #200P Wind direction vanes. The vanes are located at 49 m (160.8 ft) and 39 m (128 ft).

The data from the Symphonie logger is sent to RERL via a cellular modem once a day. The logger samples wind speed and direction once every two seconds. These samples are combined into 10-minute averages and are put into a binary file along with the maximum, minimum and standard deviation for each 10-minute interval. The binary files are converted to ASCII text files using NRG software. These text files are then imported into a database software program where they are subjected to quality assurance tests prior to data usage.

SECTION 3- Data Summary

A summary of the wind speeds and wind directions measured during the reporting period is included in Table 1. Table 1 includes the mean wind speeds measured at each measurement height, the maximum instantaneous wind speed measured at each measurement height, the prevailing wind direction measured at each measurement height, and the percentage of valid data collected. These values are provided for each month of the reporting period and for the whole reporting period.

Table 1. Wind Speed and Direction Data Summary

Date	Mean Wind Speed	Max Wind Speed	Prevailing Wind Direction	Mean Wind Speed	Max Wind Speed	Prevailing Wind Direction	Net Data Recovery Percentage
Height Units	49 m [m/s]	49 m [m/s]	49 m [m/s]	38 m [m/s]	38 m [m/s]	38 m [m/s]	[%]
Sept 2009	4.96	12.05	WSW	4.51	11.01	WSW	94.12
Oct 2009	5.71	16.16	W	5.17	15.17	WSW	91.87
Nov 2009	5.81	16.54	ENE	5.38	15.47	WSW	95.05
Sept 2009 - Nov 2009	5.491	16.54	W	5.022	15.47	WSW	93.66

No measurement of wind speed or direction can be perfectly accurate. Wind speed measurement errors occur due to anemometer manufacturing variability, anemometer calibration errors, the response of anemometers to turbulence and vertical air flow and due to air flows caused by the anemometer mounting system. Every effort is made to reduce the sources of these errors. Nevertheless, the values reported in this report have an expected uncertainty of about $\pm 2\%$ or ± 0.2 m/s, whichever is greater. Wind direction measurement errors occur due to sensor measurement uncertainty, tower effects, boom alignment measurement errors and twisting of pipe sections during the raising of a pipe tower. Efforts are also made to reduce these errors, but the reported wind directions are estimated to have an uncertainty of ± 5 degrees.

A summary of the turbulence intensity measured at each measurement height during the reporting period is included in Table 2. These values are provided for each month of the reporting period and for the whole reporting period. Turbulence Intensity is calculated by dividing the standard deviation of the wind speed by the mean wind speed and is a measure of the gustiness of a wind resource. Lower turbulence results in lower mechanical loads on a wind turbine. Turbulence intensity varies with wind speed. The average turbulence intensity presented in Table 2 is the mean turbulence intensity when the wind speed at each measurement height is between 10 and 11 m/s.

Table 2. Turbulence Intensity Data Summary

Date	Turbulence Intensity at 10 m/s	Turbulence Intensity at 10 m/s	Shear Coefficient Between Heights
Height Units	49 m [-]	38 m [-]	49 – 38 m [-]
Sept 2009	0.198	0.194	0.372
Oct 2009	0.194	0.212	0.386
Nov 2009	0.162	0.179	0.301
Sept 2009 – Nov 2009	0.176	0.194	0.351

SECTION 4- Graphs

This report contains several types of wind data graphs. Unless otherwise noted, each graph represents data from 1 quarter (3 months). The following graphs are included:

- Time Series – 10-minute average wind speeds are plotted against time.
- Wind Speed Distribution – A histogram plot giving the percentage of time that the wind is at a given wind speed.
- Monthly Average – A plot of the monthly average wind speed over a 12-month period. This graph shows the trends in the wind speed over the year.
- Diurnal – A plot of the average wind speed for each hour of the day.
- Turbulence Intensity – A plot of turbulence intensity as a function of wind speed. Turbulence Intensity is calculated as the standard deviation of the wind speed divided by the wind speed and is a measure of the gustiness of a wind resource. Lower turbulence results in lower mechanical loads on a wind turbine.
- Wind Rose – A plot, by compass direction showing the percentage of time that the wind comes from a given direction and the average wind speed in that direction.

Data for the wind speed histograms, monthly and diurnal average plots, and wind roses are included in APPENDIX B.

Wind Speed Time Series




Figure 2 – Wind Speed Time Series, Sept 1, 2009 – Nov 30, 2009

Wind Speed Distributions




Figure 3 – Wind Speed Distribution, Sept 1, 2009 – Nov 30, 2009

Monthly Average Wind Speeds




Figure 4 – Monthly Average Wind Speed, February, 2009 – November, 2009

Diurnal Average Wind Speeds




Figure 5 – Diurnal Average Wind Speeds, Sept 1, 2009 – Nov 30, 2009

Turbulence Intensities




Figure 6 – Turbulence Intensity vs. Wind Speed, Sept 1, 2009 – Nov 30, 2009

Wind Rose




Figure 7 – Wind Rose, Sept 1, 2009 – Nov 30, 2009

SECTION 5 - Significant Meteorological Events

There were no severe meteorological events during the reporting period. The highest recorded wind speed was 16.54 m/s (37.0 mph).

SECTION 6 - Data Collection and Maintenance

The secondary 49 meter anemometer sustained damage during an icing event in December and began reporting wind speeds significantly different than both the primary 49 meter anemometer and the anemometers situated at 38 meters. The data from the secondary 49 meter anemometer are flagged whenever the disagreement between the two 49 m sensors exceeds the limit defined by the Compare Sensors test (Test Definitions are in Section 7). No maintenance was performed during this quarter.

SECTION 7 - Data Recovery and Validation

All raw wind data are subjected to a series of tests and filters to weed out data that are faulty or corrupted. Definitions of these quality assurance (QA) controls are given below under Test Definitions and Sensor Statistics. These control filters were designed to automate the quality control process and used many of the previous hand-worked data sets made at UMass to affect a suitable emulation. The gross percentage of data recovered (ratio of the number of raw data points received to data points expected) and net percentage (ratio of raw data points which passed all QA control tests to data points expected) are shown below.

Gross Data Recovered [%]	100
Net Data Recovered [%]	93.661

Test Definitions

All raw data were subjected to a series of validation tests, as described below. The sensors tested and the parameters specific to each sensor are given in the Sensor Performance Report which is included in APPENDIX A. Data which were flagged as invalid were not included in the statistics presented in this report.

MinMax Test: All sensors are expected to report data values within a range specified by the sensor and logger manufacturers. If a value falls outside this range, it is flagged as invalid. A data value from the sensor listed in Test Field 1 (TF1) is flagged if it is less than Factor 1 (F1) or greater than Factor 2. This test has been applied to the following sensors (as applicable): wind speed, wind speed standard deviation, wind direction, temperature, and solar insolation.

$$F1 > TF1 > F2$$

MinMaxT Test: This is a MinMax test for wind direction standard deviation with different ranges applied for high and low wind speeds. A wind direction standard deviation data value (TF1) is flagged either if it is less than Factor 1, if the wind speed (TF2) is less than Factor 4 and the wind direction standard deviation is greater than Factor 2, or if the wind speed is greater than or equal to Factor 4 and the wind direction standard deviation is greater than Factor 3.

$$\begin{aligned} & (TF1 < F1) \\ & \text{or } (TF2 < F4 \text{ and } TF1 > F2) \\ & \text{or } (TF2 \geq F4 \text{ and } TF1 > F3) \end{aligned}$$

Icing Test: An icing event occurs when ice collects on a sensor and degrades its performance. Icing events are characterized by the simultaneous measurements of near-zero standard deviation of wind direction, non-zero wind speed, and near- or below-freezing temperatures. Wind speed, wind speed standard deviation, wind direction, and wind direction standard deviation data values are flagged if the wind direction standard deviation (CF1) is less than or equal to Factor 1 (F1), the wind speed (TF1) is greater than Factor 2 (F2), and the temperature (CF2) is less than Factor 3 (F3). To exit an icing event, the wind direction standard deviation must be greater than Factor 4.

$$CF1 \leq F1 \text{ and } TF1 > F2 \text{ and } CF2 < F3$$

CompareSensors Test: Where primary and redundant sensors are used, it is possible to determine when one of the sensors is not performing properly. For anemometers, poor performance is characterized by low data values. Therefore, if one sensor of the pair reports values significantly below the other, the low values are flagged. At low wind speeds (Test Fields 1 and 2 less than or equal to Factor 3) wind speed data are flagged if the absolute difference between the two wind speeds is greater than Factor 1. At high wind speeds (Test Fields 1 or 2 greater than Factor 3) wind speed data are flagged if the absolute value of the ratio of the two wind speeds is greater is greater than Factor 2.

$$\begin{aligned} & [TF1 \leq F3 \text{ and } TF2 \leq F3 \text{ and } \text{abs}(TF1 - TF2) > F1] \\ & \text{or } [(TF1 > F3 \text{ or } TF2 > F3) \text{ and } (\text{abs}(1 - TF1 / TF2) > F2 \text{ or } \text{abs}(1 - TF2 / TF1) > F2)] \end{aligned}$$

Sensor Statistics

A summary of the results of the data collection and filtering are given in the Sensor Performance Report which is included in APPENDIX A. The following categories of information, tabulated for each sensor, are included in that report.

Expected Data Points: the total number of sample intervals between the start and end dates (inclusive).

Actual Data Points: the total number of data points recorded between the start and end dates.

% Data Recovered: the ratio of actual and expected data points (this is the *gross data recovered percentage*).

Hours Out of Range: total number of hours for which data were flagged according to MinMax and MinMaxT tests. These tests flag data which fall outside of an expected range.

Hours of Icing: total number of hours for which data were flagged according to Icing tests. This test uses the standard deviation of wind direction, air temperature, and wind speed to determine when sensor icing has occurred.

Hours of Fault: total number of hours for which data were flagged according to CompareSensors tests. These tests compare two sensors (e.g. primary and redundant anemometers installed at the same height) and flag data points where one sensor differs significantly from the other.

% Data Good: the filter results are subtracted from the gross data recovery percentage to yield the *net data recovered percentage*.

APPENDIX A - Sensor Performance Report

Test Definitions

Test Order	Test Field1	Test Field2	Test Field3	Calc Field1	Calc Field2	Test Type	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3
1						TimeTest Insert	0	0	0
3	Batt2aVDC					MinMax	10.5	15	0
4	Etmp2aDEGC					MinMax	-30	60	0
5	EtmpSD2aDEGC					MinMax	-30	60	0
10	Anem49aMS					MinMax	0	90	0
11	Anem49bMS					MinMax	0	90	0
12	Anem38aMS					MinMax	0	90	0
13	Anem38bMS					MinMax	0	90	0
20	AnemSD49aMS					MinMax	0	4	0
21	AnemSD49bMS					MinMax	0	4	0
22	AnemSD38aMS					MinMax	0	4	0
23	AnemSD38bMS					MinMax	0	4	0
30	Vane49aDEG					MinMax	0	359.9	0
31	Vane38aDEG					MinMax	0	359.9	0
50	Turb49zNONE					MinMax	0	2	0
51	Turb38zNONE					MinMax	0	2	0
60	Wshr0zNONE					MinMax	0	100	0
200	VaneSD49aDEG	Anem49yMS				MinMaxT	0	100	100
201	VaneSD38aDEG	Anem38yMS				MinMaxT	0	100	100
300	Anem49aMS	AnemSD49aMS	Vane49aDEG	VaneSD49aDEG	Etmp2aDEGC	Icing	0.5	1	2
301	Anem49bMS	AnemSD49bMS	Vane49aDEG	VaneSD49aDEG	Etmp2aDEGC	Icing	0.5	1	2
302	Anem38aMS	AnemSD38aMS	Vane38aDEG	VaneSD38aDEG	Etmp2aDEGC	Icing	0.5	1	2
303	Anem38bMS	AnemSD38bMS	Vane38aDEG	VaneSD38aDEG	Etmp2aDEGC	Icing	0.5	1	2
400	Anem49aMS	Anem49bMS				CompareSensors	1	0.25	3
401	Anem38aMS	Anem38bMS				CompareSensors	1	0.25	3

Sensor Statistics

Sensor	Expected Data Points	Actual Data Points	% Data Recovered	Hours Out of Range	Hours of Icing	Hours of Fault	% Data Good
Temp00	13104	13104	100	0	0	0	100
IntBatt00	13104	13104	100	0	0	0	100
Anem38a	13104	13104	100	0	21	0.167	99.031
Anem38b	13104	13104	100	0	21	1.833	98.977
Vane38a	13104	13104	100	1	21	0	98.993
Anem49a	13104	13104	100	0.5	27.5	0	98.718
Anem49b	13104	13104	100	0.667	27.333	965.833	54.853
Vane49a	13104	13104	100	0.5	27.5	0	98.718
Total	104832	104832	100	2.667	145.333	967.833	93.661

APPENDIX B - Plot Data

Wind Speed Distribution Data

Bin Center Wind Speed [m/s]	Percent Time [%]
0.5	1.92
1.5	4.27
2.5	7.74
3.5	12.02
4.5	17.82
5.5	17.68
6.5	14.62
7.5	10.03
8.5	6.6
9.5	3.36
10.5	1.72
11.5	1.08
12.5	0.52
13.5	0.33
14.5	0.22
15.5	0.04
16.5	0.02
17.5	0
18.5	0
19.5	0
20.5	0
21.5	0
22.5	0
23.5	0
24.5	0

Monthly Average Wind Speed Data

Month	49 m Mean 10 min [m/s]
December	7.65
January	6.09
February	7.27
March	5.84
April	6.25
May	5.72
June	4.16
July	5.01
August	4.57
September	4.96
October	5.71
November	5.81

Wind Rose Data

	Jun - Aug 2009	
Direction	Percent Time [%]	Mean Wind Speed [m/s]
N	4	4.88
NNE	3.87	4.07
NE	6.37	5.15
ENE	13.03	5.65
E	6.76	4.13
ESE	3.68	3.33
SE	1.25	3.42
SSE	2.05	3.46
S	1.9	4.04
SSW	2.55	4.43
SW	5.7	6.58
WSW	11.02	6.02
W	13.59	6.44
WNW	10.92	6.33
NW	7.52	5.99
NNW	5.8	5.43

Diurnal Average Wind Speed Data

Hour of Day	Mean Wind Speed [m/s]
0	5.74
1	5.69
2	5.64
3	5.66
4	5.63
5	5.49
6	5.54
7	5.33
8	5.3
9	5.41
10	5.35
11	5.26
12	5.28
13	5.26
14	5.43
15	5.27
16	5.12
17	5.25
18	5.44
19	5.58
20	5.68
21	5.72
22	5.91
23	5.81