Presiding Officer W. Brian O’Connor called the 727th Regular Meeting of the Faculty Senate to order on May 2, 2013 at 3:30 p.m. in Herter Hall, Room 227.

A. ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. Principal Administrative Officers

Chancellor Kumble Subbaswamy noted that an Administrative Quality Assessment and Development review (AdQAD) is currently under way for the Office of Information Technology. An external team will be on campus next week. A national search for a Chief Information Officer will unfold in the coming weeks as well.

2. The Secretary of the Faculty Senate

Ernest May, Secretary of the Faculty Senate, congratulated Chancellor Subbaswamy on a wonderful inauguration. It was an inspirational moment. Three of the University’s five most recent chancellors were in attendance. Some of the highlights included the remarks by Chancellor Subbaswamy and Massachusetts State Senate Majority Leader Stanley Rosenberg, whose star is continuing to rise in Boston. That is certainly a positive development for the University. Chancellor Subbaswamy is the first Chancellor Secretary May can recall quoting the Gita.

The Campus Campaign was equally inspiring. It featured alumni Ken Feinberg and Katie Coleman and new Trustee David Fubini, all of whom are distinguished individuals in their fields and are passionate about UMass. The University is over $183 million on its way to the goal of $300 million.

Perhaps the best news of all, it appears that the so-called 50/50 Plan for funding UMass is well on its way to adoption by the State Government. If adopted in its current form, the Plan would assure that the campus would receive at least minimally adequate funding for next year with no rise in student fees.

For the next Faculty Senate meeting, to be held on May 9, the entire agenda is devoted to a discussion of the strategic planning report of the Joint Task Force on Strategic Oversight, titled “Innovation and Impact: Renewing the Promise of the Public Research University.” The link to the report has been emailed all across the campus and is available at the Faculty Senate website as well. The motion on the agenda reads, “Moved: That the Faculty Senate receive the report by the Joint Task Force on Strategic Oversight, Innovation and Impact: Renewing the Promise of the Public Research University, with thanks and appreciation for the contributions of the many participants. The Faculty Senate specifically supports the report’s broad goals of establishing UMASS/Amherst as a ‘destination of choice for the next generation of the Commonwealth’s high school graduates’ and as an ‘investment of choice in the Commonwealth’s and nation’s future.’ The Faculty Senate recognizes and applauds the fact that this was the first strategic planning process in the institution’s history that was genuinely collaborative among faculty, students and administration, and it looks forward to continued collaboration as the campus moves into Phase II of the planning process during academic year 2013/2014.”

This is, of course, Phase I of the process, during which time the context is created for Phase II, when the action plans will be addressed next year and beyond. The JTFSo is a collage of visionary thinking, aspirations, problems to be solved, and so forth. Many oars are in the water, and they are not all rowing in the same direction. It is doubtful that any member of the Task Force would fully endorse every sentence in it. However, it does represent a reasonably comprehensive cross-section of the thinking on various sections of the campus, thinking critically about past, present and future. As such, it should be enormously informative for Chancellor Subbaswamy as he begins to make decisions that may vary from past practice. Secretary May urged all Senators to set aside three hours before the May 9 meeting to read the report. He also encouraged Senators to write down their responses to the report and either send them to the Senate Office or read them into the record at the May 9 meeting.

4. The Faculty Delegates to the Board of Trustees

Senator W. Richards Adrion, Faculty Delegate to the Board of Trustees, stated that there has not been a full set of Board of Trustees and Subcommittee meetings since February. There have been two ad hoc meetings in the past couple of months, one on the Law School and one on a new honorary degree recipient. Senator Adrion noted that this would be the last senate meeting at which he would be the Faculty Delegate to the Board of Trustees. He thanked the Senate for a mostly pleasurable four years, including lots of driving to Boston for 8:00 a.m. meetings.
Secretary May moved to suspend the rules to introduce a motion of thanks to Senator W. Richards Adrion.

The motion was seconded and approved and Secretary May moved the following resolution:

W. Richards Adrion

RECENT SERVICE ON FACULTY SENATE COUNCILS AND COMMITTEES
Faculty Delegate to the Board of Trustees – 2009- 2013
Rules Committee – 2009- 2013
Executive Advisory Council – 2010 - 2011
Capital Asset Task Force (CATF) – 2008- 2010
Chancellor’s Budget Planning Task Force – 2008- 2009
Ad Hoc Committee on the Student Information System (ACSIS) – 2004- 2006

Whereas you have proven yourself a dedicated teacher and researcher; in your 25 plus years of teaching at the University of Massachusetts Amherst, including your service as Chair of the Department of Computer Science from 1986 to 1994; in your impressive list of important publications and your leadership and association with many of the most prestigious organizations in the computer sciences; and

Whereas you have lent endless amounts of your time and expertise in serving the University on numerous councils and committees dedicated to the success of UMass students and the success of the University and, as part of the Five College Faculty Advisory Committee, its neighbors; and

Whereas you have distinguished yourself as an advocate for the educational mission of the University of Massachusetts Amherst as Faculty Delegate to the Board of Trustees and member of the Rules Committee;

Therefore, be it resolved that the Faculty Senate and the entire University Faculty wish you luck and success in all endeavors as you end your tenure as Faculty Delegate to the Board of Trustees and member of the Rules Committee.

7. The President of the Student Government Association

Zachary Broughton, President of the Student Government Association, introduced himself to the Faculty Senate, as this is his first meeting as SGA President. President Broughton is a junior political science and legal studies double major at UMass; he is a resident assistant at Southwest; and he has been in the SGA for the past three years. He is excited to work with all the Senators and the Senate leadership. President Broughton has served on some of the committees represented by Faculty Senators, including the Search Committee that appointed Chancellor Subbaswamy. Those opportunities were immensely beneficial for President Broughton, as a student representative, and he is excited to bring more students to those committees.

President Broughton then addressed the student response to the transition from FCS to FBS Football. At an earlier Senate meeting, the Rules Committee charged a number of various stakeholders to articulate comments regarding the transition to FBS Football. The group of students charged with that task has completed it, in accordance with the April 30 deadline presented at that meeting.

Secretary May asked that the report be sent to the Faculty Senate Office for consideration by the Rules Committee.

President Broughton then spoke to the University’s response to the Joint Task Force on Strategic Oversight’s strategic planning report. The students of UMass Amherst have put together a letter and a report for the Chancellor’s Office with suggestions about what they would like added to the Task Force and its report. The SGA office awaits and is excited for the reply.

B. QUESTION PERIOD (10-Minute Limit)

Senator Frank Hugus addressed a question jointly to the Provost and the Secretary of the Senate regarding the ongoing review of Ph.D. programs. Senator Hugus has looked at some of the preliminary reports and has found some far-reaching policy implications as well as educational and academic implications. He wonders what the extent of faculty and/or Faculty Senate participation has been in creating this report and what the anticipated role of the faculty is from here on out.

Provost James Staros stated that John McCarthy, Dean of the Graduate School, is spearheading this endeavor and could present a more detailed overview. At the beginning of this process, a faculty committee was appointed, representing all the Schools and Colleges. Provost Staros has not yet received the report from that committee. The separate, individual analyses of
each department have gone out to the departments, commented on, in some cases corrected and revised, and the departmental responses have gone up through the deans' offices. There has been departmental faculty input in each of the reports. There has been a response from the deans' offices. And then they go to the university-wide committee, who will report to Provost Staros. There is meant to be faculty involvement both at the grass-roots level in each program and between the decanal reports and the final reception at senior administration.

Secretary May noted that all the appointments to the faculty committee were made by the administration. It was not a Faculty Senate creation. Dean McCarthy set up a representative committee and he instituted a process for populating it, which is within his purview as Vice Provost for Graduate Education and Dean of the Graduate School. The recommendations have not been made totally public yet. Secretary May knows that in some cases, however, some specific recommendations have been made that are very serious. The campus has a policy about the reduction and termination of academic programs. If there are any implications of that kind of thing in the report, they will have to go to the Faculty Senate, where they will be subjected to all the usual processes of the Faculty Senate. The process works in phases. In phase one, Dean McCarthy did a study that set the context. If there are specific recommendations, we go on to phase two, which involves action steps. Those action steps will have to go through the Faculty Senate processes. There is a mixture in the strategic report of actions that can be carried out administratively and actions that require Faculty Senate review.

Senator Marinos Vouvakis stated that the review of the Ph.D. programs is a good endeavor. He wondered if a similar review would take place regarding undergraduate programs.

Provost Staros stated that the short answer is yes. The University had hoped to launch it this year, but in the context of the strategic planning and all, there was just too much to do. He anticipates that the review of undergraduate programs will be launched at the beginning of the next academic year. Vice Provost Carol Barr will be point person for that project.

Secretary May again moved to suspend the rules to introduce motions of thanks for Faculty Senate officers who are completing their terms.

The motion to suspend the rules was seconded and approved and Secretary May moved the following resolutions:

Joseph Bartolomeo

RECENT SERVICE ON FACULTY SENATE COUNCILS AND COMMITTEES
Chair, Rules Committee – 2010- 2013
University Relations and Advancement Council – 2001- present (Chair from 2004- 2013)
Capital Asset Task Force (CATF) – 2008- 2010
Ad Hoc Committee on Strategic Oversight – 2009- 2010
Reorganization Task Force – 2009

Whereas you have committed yourself to the academic mission of the University; in your devoted teaching for the past 25 plus years; in your valuable scholarship of eighteenth century literature; and in your service to the English Department as Undergraduate Advisor, Director of Undergraduate Studies, and—for the past six years—Chair of the Department; and

Whereas you have proven yourself a valuable leader, advisor and advocate for the University as Chair of the Rules Committee, Chair of the University Relations and Advancement Council, and as a member of the most recent Chancellors Search Committee, the Five College Faculty Advisory Committee, and the Intercampus Faculty Council;

Therefore, be it resolved that the Faculty Senate and the entire University Faculty wish you success, good fortune and contentment as you end your tenure as Faculty Senator and Chair of the Rules Committee and transition into your new leadership in the College of Humanities and Fine Arts.
Robert Faulkner

RECENT SERVICE ON FACULTY SENATE COUNCILS AND COMMITTEES
Program and Budget Council - 2004-2013 (Chair of the Program Subcommittee, 2010-2012)
Ad Hoc Committee on Strategic Oversight – 2009- 2010
Rules Committee – 2007- 2009
Reorganization Task Force – 2009
General Education Council – 2006- 2008

Whereas you have distinguished yourself in your role as a scholar, teacher and leader at this University, exhibiting a strong and proven dedication to the education of this campus’ students in over 40 years of teaching in the Department of Sociology, receiving multiple college-level awards in teaching; and

Whereas you have shown a strong commitment to the research endeavor of this University, publishing numerous books, articles, book chapters and reviews in the field of sociology, particularly relating to culture, occupation, and the sociology of jazz; and serving as a research associate in the Social and Demographic Research Institute; and

Whereas you have proven a committed leader in the service of the University, serving on numerous Faculty Senate Councils and Committees, including the Rules Committee;

Therefore, be it resolved that the entire membership of the Faculty Senate and the University Faculty extend their very best wishes upon your retirement and express the hope for future good fortune and continued success in all endeavors.

W. Brian O'Connor

RECENT SERVICE ON FACULTY SENATE COUNCILS AND COMMITTEES
Presiding Officer of the Faculty Senate – 2010- 2013
Executive Advisory Council – 2007- 2011
Capital Asset Task Force (CATF) – 2008- 2010
Ad Hoc Committee on General Education Revision and Implementation (GERICO) – 2007-2010
Faculty Delegate to the Board of Trustees – 1997- 2009
General Education Task Force – 2007- 2009
Chancellor’s Budget Planning Task Force – 2008- 2009
Athletic Council – 2006- 2009
UMA 250 Task Force – 2005- 2008
Other service includes work on the Academic Matters, General Education, Student Affairs and University Life, Undergraduate Education and Extension Councils, the Ad Hoc Committee on 1A Football, the Ad Hoc Committee on System Performance and System Structure, among others.

Whereas your service to the University through the Faculty Senate has added immensely to your distinguished academic career, which includes over 40 years of dedicated teaching, scholarship, research and advising; including distinguished teaching awards and dutifully advising thousands of students into success in medicine, dentistry, and other health professions; and

Whereas you have been a powerful, intelligent, and active member of numerous search committees at every level at the University; and

Whereas you have singularly committed yourself to the academic mission of the University by serving as a Faculty Senator and member of numerous Faculty Senate Councils and Committees, including service on the Rules Committee and as Faculty Delegate to the Board of Trustees, over the span of your entire career at UMass Amherst; and

Whereas you have diligently led the Faculty Senate by serving as Presiding Officer;

Therefore, be it resolved that the entire Faculty Senate and University Faculty wish you absolute best as you end your tenure as Presiding Officer, Faculty Senator, and member of the Rules Committee, and offer deepest gratitude for the tireless work you have done to advance this University, advocate for its best interest, and maintain order among its Faculty legislators.
Presiding Officer W. Brian O’Connor noted that he had been involved with the Faculty Senate for over 40 years. His first stint in the Senate was under Chancellor Bromery and Provost Gluckstern. Presiding Officer O’Connor has long marched to his own drummer at UMass—much to his risk at times. He has no regrets about doing what he has done. He met Tom Lindeman, who was in attendance, over 40 years ago, when a group of faculty decided that the administration wasn’t paying enough attention to teaching. Mr. Lindeman is an honorary faculty member at the University. A lot of things have grown out from that group of faculty. Vice Provost Carol Barr’s position is an outgrowth of that dedicated group of faculty. When Presiding Officer O’Connor gives talks during Open Houses, he discusses the equal amount of recognition that this administration gives now—and has always given—towards research and teaching, which is rare at most research universities, that only focus on research. Presiding Officer O’Connor has been very proud of the opportunity he has had to participate in that. Being a member of the Senate and its councils and committees has allowed Presiding Officer O’Connor to meet a ton of people from across campus. Unfortunately, many of his colleagues don’t have that opportunity; they don’t know many people outside Morrill. Coming back from a conference a couple of weeks ago on Amtrak, Presiding Officer O’Connor went to the dining car. The person in front of him turned around, noting it was going to be a long wait. Lo and behold, it was Jules Chametzky, former member of the English Department. They spent the entire trip from Penn Station to Springfield reminiscing about UMass. Members of the Senate should embrace the opportunities it affords, as they will never forget their time here.

C. ELECTIONS

1. PRESIDING OFFICER OF THE FACULTY SENATE

Nominee: Richard Bogartz, Psychology

(Further nominations will be accepted from the floor.)

There were no further nominations and Senator Richard Bogartz was elected by acclamation.

2. DELEGATE TO THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Nominee: James Kurose, Computer Science

(Further nominations will be accepted from the floor.)

There were no further nominations and Senator James Kurose was elected by acclamation.

D. ANNUAL REPORT


The report was received.

Secretary May thanked the co-chairs of the Athletic Council. As usual, it is a very complete and transparent annual report. The Council and its subcommittees did well attending to the many stipulated details that they are charged to deal with as part of its NCAA obligations. The Athletic Council reports to the Faculty Senate, but it also has a fairly strict set of obligations under the rules of the NCAA.

E. BYLAW CHANGES


MOVED: That the Faculty Senate approve the Bylaw Changes, as presented in Sen. Doc. No. 13-039B.

40-13

(Inasmuch as these are changes to the Senate’s Bylaws, this is the third of three reading of this motion. It will be voted on at this meeting.)

Secretary May moved to amend the document to replace the University Computer and Electronic Communications Committee with a new council covering similar territory called the Information and Communication Technology Council. Its charge is
simple: The Information and Communication Technology Council shall review and make recommendations with respect to campus infrastructure policies, planning and priorities related to information and communication technologies. The membership is much more comprehensive than on the existing committee. There is a structure in place for when the new CIO is appointed. In consultation with that new individual, the membership could be tweaked a little. As it is, the membership assures that there are enough faculty members and that the Deans have representation, as well as all appropriate administrators. IT has become such an integral part of the whole functioning of the campus, whether in teaching, research, service, administration, Library, you name it. It needs to have a large and broad constituency base.

The amendment was seconded and adopted.

Senator Frank Hugus asked if the new council was just put on the agenda and, if so, if that delays it for two meetings until the fall, according to the Bylaws.

Secretary May stated that the practice has been that the Bylaw changes have to be read at three meetings in two different months, but that amendments may be made at any of the meetings. The longevity clause does not apply to amendments. It has been the Senate’s past practice to accept amendments at any of the meetings.

Presiding Officer O’Connor noted that this issue was discussed in the Rules Committee, and past practice has been that amendments do not need to be read at three meetings in successive months.

Secretary May stated that the formation of the new Information and Communication Technology Council involved a lot of conversation behind the scenes with the administration and the current chairs of the existing University Computer and Electronic Communications Committee. He does not see any opposition to it. As a technical matter, it does puzzle Secretary May.

Senator Steven Brewer, Co-Chair of the University Computer and Electronic Communications Committee, noted that, this semester, the Committee met with the Chancellor and the Provost to discuss what sort of governance IT needs going forward. The existing structure has not worked very well. At various times, OIT has brought issues to the University Computer and Electronic Communications Committee. Recently, however, that had not been happening. It turns out that alternate structures had been created elsewhere to provide the oversight that the Committee should have provided. The Committee met with the Chancellor and the Provost to discuss what they envisioned going forward. The Committee also took recommendations from John Dubach, current CIO and Vice Chancellor for Information Technologies. The Committee had the opportunity to vet this. Senator Brewer drafted the initial proposal, but it has had substantial input at all levels. As a general structure going forward, the proposed Council addresses the concerns people had. It has been guided by the Teaching and Learning Technology roundtable model that many have been trying to get in place on the campus for many years. The Council is large and may be unwieldy, but it should be a positive step going forward.

The motion was seconded and adopted as amended.

F. NEW COURSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COURSE</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>CREDITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMPSCI 119</td>
<td>“Introduction to Programming”</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERMAN 365</td>
<td>“Berlin: Global City”</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREEK 475</td>
<td>“Lucian”</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HISTORY 250</td>
<td>“Introduction to South Asian History and Culture (HSG)”</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUDAIC 318</td>
<td>“Family and Sexuality in Jewish History and Culture”</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH-MGMT 200</td>
<td>“Career and Professional Development”</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOVED: That the Faculty Senate approve the courses CMPSCI 119, GERMAN 365, GREEK 475, HISTORY 250, JUDAIC 318 and SCH-MGMT 200, as recommended by the Academic Matters Council.

The motion was seconded and adopted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COURSE</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>CREDITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANTHRO 527</td>
<td>“Repatriation and Cultural Property”</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTHRO 588</td>
<td>“Field and Laboratory Methods of Bioarchaeology and Forensic Anthropology”</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC 579</td>
<td>“Cree Culture, Natural Resources, and Sustainability”</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MOVED: That the Faculty Senate approve the courses ANTHRO 527 and 588 and NRC 579, as recommended by the Academic Matters and Graduate Councils.

The motion was seconded and adopted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COURSE</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>CREDITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANTHRO 627</td>
<td>“Archaeology of Food”</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTHRO 652</td>
<td>“Indigenous Archaeologies”</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 647A</td>
<td>“Assistive Technology for Learners with Disabilities”</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICROBIO 695</td>
<td>“Applied Molecular Biotechnology Laboratory (AMBL)”</td>
<td>5/5/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYCH 687</td>
<td>“Ethics and Professional Development in Psychology”</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYCH 688</td>
<td>“Theory and Practice of Psychotherapy with Children, Adolescents &amp; Families”</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBP&amp;ADM 614</td>
<td>“Professional Development Seminar”</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH-MGMT 633</td>
<td>“Financial and Managerial Accounting”</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOVED: That the Faculty Senate approve the courses ANTHRO 627 and 652, EDUC 647A, MICROBIO 695, PSYCH 687 and 688, PUBP&ADM 614 and SCH-MGMT 633, as recommended by the Graduate Council.

The motion was seconded and adopted.

G. NEW BUSINESS


MOVED: That the Faculty Senate approve the Minor Change to the Requirements for the Undergraduate Major in Afro-American Studies: Senior Capstone Sequence, as presented in Sen. Doc. No. 13-058.

The motion was seconded and adopted.


MOVED: That the Faculty Senate approve the Revisions to the Legal Studies Major, as presented in Sen. Doc. No. 13-059.

The motion was seconded and adopted.


MOVED: That the Faculty Senate approve the Revisions to the Political Science Minor Requirements, as presented in Sen. Doc. No. 13-060.

The motion was seconded and adopted.


MOVED: That the Faculty Senate approve the Revision of the Degree Requirements for the BBA Departments in the Isenberg School of Management, as presented in Sen. Doc. No. 13-061.

The motion was seconded and adopted.

MOVED: That the Faculty Senate approve the Revision of the Faculty Senate Election Districts, as presented in Sen. 68-13 Doc. No. 13-062.

Secretary May moved to table the motion until the first meeting of the fall semester. Although Senator MJ Peterson did a great job creating this district list, there are a number of unintended consequences to this motion. The size of many districts were reduced, creating some overfilled and underfilled districts. This did not serve the purpose of maximizing participation as much as it could. All of the districts will be made larger. For example, the Isenberg School of Management currently has a district that is overfilled and a district that has almost no one in it. If they were put together, they’d be okay. Music has almost no one in it, Art is overfilled. Likewise, Computer Science is full and Mathematics has no Senators serving. By making the districts larger and getting the proportions correct according to the Faculty Senate Bylaws, participation can be encouraged while maintaining campus-wide representation.

Senator Vouvakis addressed an issue he had brought up at the last meeting. It is important for the entire campus to be represented in the Faculty Senate, but he believes it is more important for the entire campus to be represented in the Rules Committee. He wonders how we can make it that no one college or no one entity has more than two members on the Rules Committee. That sort of legislation would diversify the Rules Committee. Senator Vouvakis is not arguing for having one Rules Committee member per college, but for not having multiple members from the same college. He wondered what the procedures were for such a proposal.

Secretary May noted that Senator Vouvakis presented an interesting suggestion. If it is the will of the Faculty Senate, the Rules Committee will consider it over the summer. It would require a Bylaw revision and likely an enlarging of the Rules Committee. UMass has some very small colleges and a very large College of Natural Sciences. Representing the entire campus is a value, but it is not the only value. The Rules Committee needs diverse representation—across campus, with women and minorities, et cetera. There is an election process that complicates it as well, as you cannot control elections.

Senator Susan Whitbourne wondered if it would be possible to create slates for the Rules Committee, in which there would be categorical elections. That would allow for diversity within the slates.

Senator MJ Peterson stated that this is a sufficiently important question raising enough issues that it should be done very carefully and deliberately through the process of Bylaw changes. The Rules Committee will launch these discussions over the summer. It may not come back in the fall with an exact idea regarding the composition of the Rules Committee, but that is a separate discussion than the composition of the Senate itself, and should proceed as a separated discussion.

Secretary May noted that, according to the Bylaws, the at-large members of the Rules Committee are elected at the first meeting of the Faculty Senate in the fall. This fall, there will be at least three members elected.

Senator W. Richards Adrion noted that there are a number of ex officio members of the Rules Committee that are not elected per se: the Secretary of the Senate, the Presiding Officer, the Delegate to the Board of Trustees and the Associate Delegate to the Board of Trustees. That complicates it further.

Secretary May stated that where those ex officio members come from greatly affects the composition of the Rules Committee. The Rules Committee will try to come up with one or more alternate approaches to this and see what the will of the Senate is.

Senator Richard Bogartz noted that he would like to hear some kind of argument that there have been negative consequences that have affected some school or some body on campus as a result of the present way of running the Rules Committee. Senator Bogartz’ understanding of being on the Rules Committee and, in fact, of being a Faculty Senator, is that you are not representing your department or your school, but you are representing the University. If there are real consequences that have occurred, they should be acknowledged.

Senator Vouvakis noted that the last time a faculty member from the College of Engineering was on the Rules Committee was in 2005, the year that Senator Vouvakis arrived at UMass Amherst. He understands that every Senator will try to represent the entire University, but there are specific problems and issues that only someone belonging to particular colleges or departments would know. The Rules Committee is very important, and the smaller colleges should be a part of its processes. Senator Vouvakis appreciates the effort that the present Rules Committee will undertake to look into revisions.

The motion to table the proposed redistricting until the first Faculty Senate meeting of the fall 2013 semester was seconded and approved.

MOVED: That the Faculty Senate approve the Nominations to Faculty Senate Councils and Committees, as presented in Sen. Doc. No. 13-063.

The motion was seconded and adopted.

The 727th Regular Meeting of the Faculty Senate stood adjourned on May 2, 2013 at 4:27 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Ernest D. May
Secretary of the Faculty Senate