The 759th meeting of the Faculty Senate will feature important items relating to the campus planning and budgeting. They will be taken up first.

**Campus Planning and Resource Allocation**

Both the Joint Task Force on Strategic Oversight and the Joint Task Force on Resource Allocation are submitting final reports. The related Senate motions acknowledge their work, thank their co-chairs and members for their outstanding work, and acknowledge completion of work.

Both are recommending that the campus adopt new committees and routines for planning and allocation of financial resources to create more intentional, transparent, and consultative ways of defining campus values and setting long-term campus goals and of allocating resources in the service of those values and goals. The Joint Task Force reports specify elements of the campus-level committee and routines in some detail; they also note the need to continue refining the college-level consultation processes, the budgeting process for Administrative and Support Units, and the funds flow model that provides financial information needed for budgeting.

Since the two Joint Task Forces developed overlapping recommendations, the Rules Committee decided that we would more effectively mark the transition from the years of thinking, preparing, and trying out new things to the years of using them in regular campus practice if we consolidated the recommendations in a single motion separate from the motions marking completion of the Task Forces’ work. This is also consistent with the shape of the proposed campus-level mechanism for consultation and deliberation, which would create a single campus-level committee for ongoing consultation regarding planning and budgeting. JTFRA did suggest a name for it; we propose no particular name in the motion since the naming is still under discussion.

The full text of this motion is included at the end. A revised version of the JTFSO motion is also included. At the Request of the JTFSO Co-Chairs the last sentence was modified to better reflect what it had intended to accomplish regarding outreach and engagement.


As is standard practice, the Faculty Senate will receive the Report. Both Athletic Council co-chair Pat Vittum and COIA representative Nelson Lacey have indicated that they will be present in case questions arise.

**New Business: Consent Agenda 1**

As always, any Senator may request that an item on a consent agenda be separated out for separate discussion and consideration. It would be helpful if anyone seeking a separate discussion notifies the Senate Office before the meeting, but this is not required.
This consent agenda covers 23 courses recommended by the Academic Matters Council and 3 recommended jointly by it and the Graduate Council because they are proposed as 500-level courses open to both undergraduate and graduate students.

**New Business: Consent Agenda 2**

This consent agenda covers 12 proposals: 5 on program revisions, 3 on establishment of new certificate programs, 1 on a new concentration within an existing MS program, 1 on a new dual master's degree program, 1 to establish a new center, and 1 to establish a new institute. The entities proposed in the last two proposals have strongly overlapping interests and areas of concern; their proposers have worked together throughout the conception and proposal process to ensure that the entities will help energize one another.

**New Business: Revision of the Analytic Reasoning Requirement**

Robert’s Rules of Order specify that a motion may be withdrawn by the proposer at any time before the Presiding Officer has “stated the motion” – that is, announced that consideration of it will now begin, in the meeting at which it is scheduled to be considered. (A motion may be withdrawn after the Presiding Officer has stated it only if the body agreed to its withdrawal.)

The General Education Council has identified some complications in implementing the placement examination specified in the revision to the R1/R2 requirement. It believes these need to be worked out before the revisions are adopted. It is withdrawing the motion for now, and expects to present it at a later date.

**Old Business: Amendment to the Academic Honesty Policy**

This motion presents the change to the Academic Honesty Policy regarding graduate student work that was not quite ready earlier. It addresses the need to distinguish between the campus academic honesty policies that apply to graduate students when conducting research as part of course or degree program requirements and the Board of Trustees policies that apply to graduate students when conducting research as part of a team or group engaged in a sponsored research project.

*A revised agenda with the texts of motions to be presented and omitting the withdrawn motion will be distributed electronically tomorrow morning; printed copies will be available at the meeting.*

MJ Peterson,
Secretary
Revised version of Motion 29-16 on the Joint Task Force on Strategic Oversight and Planning

That the Faculty Senate receive the final report of the Joint Task Force on Strategic Oversight, express its appreciation for the outstanding contributions of its Co-Chairs and other members, and thank them and the many participants in its meetings with constituencies around campus for their thoughtful contributions to campus deliberations. It has fulfilled its charge to make recommendations regarding a high-level Strategic Plan for the Campus, to encourage and guide the development of administrative and academic unit plans, and to promote the parallel efforts to develop a campus Diversity Plan, a campus Internationalization Plan, and an Outreach and Engagement Strategy to include improvements in evaluating and communicating impact.

Motion 31-16 on Campus Planning and Resource Allocation:

That the Faculty Senate endorse the recommendations by JTFSO and JTFRA:

1. To create a campus-level standing committee that will provide ongoing leadership and oversight for the campus strategic planning process and the associated resource allocation system, which will have as its primary orientation the alignment of resources with priorities so as to ensure the effective integration of planning and budgeting;

2. To assign the task of specifying the membership and organization of this new campus-level committee jointly to the Chancellor and the Faculty Senate Rules Committee, with the understanding that, as recommended by JTFSO and JTFRA it will be organized according to the principles of collaboration among faculty, staff, students, and administrators, and in particular that the committee includes members with appropriate levels of expertise in and responsibility for planning and budgeting;

3. To charge the new campus level committee with undertaking ongoing review of the planning and budgeting processes, including preparation of the FY18 budget, with a view to ensuring that they serve the purposes of aligning resources with values and goals, transparency, and consultation, and with recommending adjustments to planning and budgeting processes as needed;

4. To use the resource allocation system – both the decision-making process tested during formulation of the FY17 budget and the model constructed and reviewed by JTFRA – to develop the FY18 budget;

5. To continue the Joint Subcommittee on Administrative Costs and Services (JSACS) temporarily as a special committee until its work can be brought within the ambit of the new campus-level committee;

6. To endorse the JTFRA recommendation that the Chancellor appoint a small working group to complete detailing of the model so that it can be used in development of the FY18 budget;

7. To encourage the colleges to continue refining the new planning and budgeting processes featuring greater transparency and faculty participation that they began testing during formulation of the FY17 budget; and

8. To charge the new campus-level committee with a) keeping the campus community informed of its work and recommendations regarding organization of planning and budgeting and b) encouraging community discussion and feedback.