

SPECIAL REPORT

of the

RULES COMMITTEE

concerning the

POLICY AND PROCEDURES CONCERNING ACADEMIC HONESTY
*(This Senate Document replaces the Policy and Procedures concerning Academic Honesty
previously approved on February 3, 2000, Sen. Doc. No. 00-015)*

Presented at the
663rd Regular Meeting of the Faculty Senate
May 17, 2007

COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

Maurianne Adams
Marilyn Billings, Chair
Seshu Desu
Robert Faulkner
Arthur Kinney
Ernest May
W. Brian O'Connor
Robert Wilson
Donna Zucker

**PROPOSAL
ACADEMIC HONESTY POLICY AND APPEAL PROCEDURE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|--------------|
| I. Statement of Policy | p. 2 |
| II. Statement of Process | p. 2 |
| A. The Academic Honesty Office | p. 2 |
| B. The Academic Honesty Board | p. 3 |
| C. <i>PROCEDURES FOR INSTRUCTORS</i> | p. 3 |
| D. Notification of Charge of Academic Dishonesty | p. 5 |
| E. Appeals of Allegations or Sanctions | p. 5 |
| F. Hearing Panels | p. 6 |
| G. Conduct of the Hearing | p. 6 |
| H. Decision of the Hearing Panel | p. 7 |
| I. Administration of Sanctions | p. 8 |
| J. Appeal Options | p. 8 |
| K. Multiple Offenses | p. 9 |
| L. Records of Allegations, Appeals, and Sanctions | p. 9 |
| APPENDICES | |
| A. Definitions | p. 10 |
| B. Examples of Academic Dishonesty | p. 11 |
| C. Tips for Preventing Academic Dishonesty | p. 13 |
| D. Forms | p. 14 |
| E. Rules of Hearing | p. 18 |

**Questions: contact Academic Honesty Office c/o University Ombuds Office
545-0867 or ombuds@ombuds.umass.edu**

I. STATEMENT OF POLICY

Since the integrity of the academic enterprise of any institution of higher education requires honesty in scholarship and research, academic honesty is required of all students at the University of Massachusetts Amherst.

Academic dishonesty is prohibited in all programs of the University. Academic dishonesty includes but is not limited to: cheating, fabrication, plagiarism, and facilitating dishonesty. [See Appendix B for detailed examples of behavior that constitutes academic dishonesty.] Appropriate sanctions may be imposed on any student who has committed an act of academic dishonesty. Instructors should take reasonable steps to address academic misconduct. [See Appendix C for some suggested ways to deal with issues of academic integrity.] Any person who has reason to believe that a student has committed academic dishonesty should bring such information to the attention of the appropriate course instructor as soon as possible. Instances of academic dishonesty not related to a specific course should be brought to the attention of the appropriate department Head or Chair. The procedures outlined below are intended to provide an efficient and orderly process by which action may be taken if it appears that academic dishonesty has occurred and by which students may appeal such actions.

Since students are expected to be familiar with this policy and the commonly accepted standards of academic integrity, ignorance of such standards is not normally sufficient evidence of lack of intent.

II. STATEMENT OF PROCESS

These procedures apply to all students and instructors and other instructional staff participating in academic classes, programs, and research projects offered at the University of Massachusetts Amherst, i.e., all graduate, undergraduate, Stockbridge, and Continuing and Professional Education programs including all online/distance learning courses.

The procedures outlined herein are the only official procedures for addressing charges of academic dishonesty. **No school, college, department, instructor, or office on the Amherst campus may impose any penalty for suspected academic dishonesty, nor require a student to appeal, through any other procedure.**

A. THE ACADEMIC HONESTY OFFICE

The Academic Honesty Office is responsible for maintaining records, advising all concerned parties about their rights and responsibilities under this procedure, and convening hearing panels. As process manager, the Office has the discretion to extend timelines; if warranted, the Office may contract timelines (with the agreement of the parties) during sessions outside the regular academic year.

The Academic Honesty Office shall maintain records of all allegations that come to its attention, and of all hearing panel proceedings.

The Academic Honesty Office will provide to the Dean of Students Office, Dean of the Graduate School and Director of Continuing and Professional Education information concerning all sanctions administered to students within their particular jurisdiction pursuant to this procedure. They will treat this information as they do all other disciplinary records.

B. THE ACADEMIC HONESTY BOARD

There shall be an Academic Honesty Board comprised of a minimum of: eighteen faculty members, nine graduate students, and nine undergraduate students. Instructor appointments shall be made by the Faculty Senate and shall include at least one faculty member from each school or college. Student appointments shall be made by the respective governance bodies, with representation from diverse academic areas. Each appointment shall be for a term of three years. To ensure due process, if a sufficient number of timely appointments are not made by the respective governance bodies, such appointments may be made by the Academic Honesty Office (in consultation with the appropriate governance body where possible). The Board will have authority to consider the matters specified below. Members of the Board will serve on hearing panels as described below.

C. PROCEDURES FOR INSTRUCTORS

An instructor who suspects that a student has committed an act of academic dishonesty may not impose a penalty on the student without notifying the student of the suspicion and of the instructor's intended penalty and affording the student the right of appeal. **In pursuing allegations of academic dishonesty, instructors are required to respect the student's right to privacy as provided by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).** [See also Section L.]

C-1 STUDENT CONFERENCE

1. **An instructor suspecting academic dishonesty must offer the student a reasonable opportunity to discuss the situation prior to taking any action.** The instructor should notify a student of his/her suspicion of dishonesty, either verbally or in writing, as soon as possible after the instructor has arrived at that suspicion, and must do so within ten business days. If the notification is by electronic mail, it must be sent to the student's official University e-mail address and may be sent to any other e-mail address provided by the student to the instructor. Notification should also specify how long the student has to respond, but should be no fewer than five business days. If the student accepts the instructor's offer to meet, the instructor may include the department head or designee in the meeting; failure to do so does not invalidate the process.
2. **The instructor may conclude that no academic dishonesty has occurred.** Following such discussion, if the instructor is satisfied that no academic dishonesty has occurred, the instructor will evaluate the student's work as though the suspicion of academic dishonesty had never been raised.
3. **The student does not respond.** If the student does not respond, the instructor may decide either to file a formal charge or not to proceed further.

C-2 INFORMAL PROCESS

The instructor and the student may arrive at an agreement to resolve the matter informally. The instructor and student may agree that there was no intentional breach of the Academic Honesty Policy on the part of the student or that there were circumstances mitigating the seriousness of the offense. They may agree on an informal means of resolving the matter. Informal resolutions could include, for example, redoing an assignment, doing additional work, or a grade penalty (for either the assignment or the course). No student may be forced in any way to agree to a proposed informal resolution of an allegation of academic dishonesty. Informal resolutions of allegations of academic dishonesty may not be appealed. If a student wishes to contest an allegation of academic dishonesty rather than agree to an informal resolution, the instructor must issue a formal charge.

Informal resolutions are to be forwarded to the Academic Honesty Office which will maintain a record of all such resolutions. **Instructors are encouraged to use the form provided in Appendix D to report informal resolutions. A third (and any subsequent) informal resolution will establish a record of academic dishonesty (see Section L) and a University Sanction Hearing (see Section K: Multiple Offenses) will be held to determine whether sanctions should be imposed.**

C-3 FORMAL PROCESS

If no informal agreement is reached and an instructor wishes to penalize the student, the instructor must file a formal charge and must notify the Academic Honesty Office of the intended penalty. *This begins the formal process.* The instructor may file a formal charge of academic dishonesty by notifying the Academic Honesty Office within fifteen business days of any of the following:

- a) the student admits to the alleged dishonesty, or
- b) the student denies the allegation but the instructor continues to believe that the dishonesty has occurred, or
- c) the student does not respond to the allegation by the stipulated date, or
- d) the student and the instructor are otherwise unable to reach an informal resolutions, or
- e) the instructor wishes to impose a sanction not allowed under the informal process.

The instructor must send this written notification to the Academic Honesty Office and should retain a copy. **Instructors are encouraged to use the form provided in Appendix D to file formal charges.** The instructor's notification to the Office must include a statement of the evidence that is the basis of the allegation and the intended sanction. An instructor may impose a grade sanction, including a failing grade for the course. The sanction may not be implemented except as outlined in E:5. If the instructor believes that the alleged dishonesty warrants an additional sanction, the instructor may also recommend to the Academic Honesty Office that a University sanction be imposed, including, but not limited to, a letter of reprimand, University probation, suspension or expulsion. A recommendation for a University sanction must include the rationale for imposing an additional sanction and requires that a hearing be held as outlined in Section E:4. **N.B. If an informal agreement is reached after a formal charge has been forwarded to the Academic Honesty Office, the instructor must immediately notify the Academic Honesty Office in writing that the charge is withdrawn.**

Withdrawal from the course may not be used to avoid a formal charge of academic dishonesty.

D. NOTIFICATION OF CHARGE OF ACADEMIC DISHONESTY

The Academic Honesty Office notifies the student of the charge and the right to appeal.

Upon receipt of the formal charge from the instructor, the Academic Honesty Office will issue an official notification of the charge to the student including the right to appeal, the timelines for doing so, and that the student's failure to appeal will establish a disciplinary record for academic dishonesty. The Office will send this notice and a copy of the charge to the student within five business days of receiving the instructor's formal charge of academic dishonesty. Should the charge proceed to a hearing, the hearing panel cannot impose a more severe sanction than that specified by the instructor.

E. APPEALS OF ALLEGATIONS AND SANCTIONS

1. **The student may appeal the instructor's decision and intended sanction.** If the student denies the allegation of academic dishonesty or wishes to appeal the penalty imposed by the instructor, an appeal may be filed with the Academic Honesty Board. This appeal must be in writing and submitted to the Academic Honesty Office within ten business days of the Office's official notification to the student. The student's notice of appeal should describe in detail any relevant evidence and line of argument. [See Appendix D for a suggested format.] The Academic Honesty Office will notify the instructor of the student's appeal.
2. **A hearing of the appeal will be held within fifteen business days of the notice of appeal.** If the notice of appeal is received by the Academic Honesty Office with fewer than fifteen business days remaining in the semester, the appeal process will begin with the opening of the following semester. The process may, however, go forward during intersession or the summer if the student so requests, the instructor can be present, and Academic Honesty Board members are available. Students' and instructors' rights and obligations in the hearing process are described below.
3. **If the student does not appeal an instructor's allegation or intended sanction, the sanction will be implemented.** If a student does not file an appeal by the date specified in the Notification of Charge of Academic Dishonesty (see Section D), no hearing will be held, and the Academic Honesty Office will facilitate the implementation of the sanction by notifying the appropriate registrar.
4. **A hearing will be scheduled automatically upon recommendation of University sanction(s).** An instructor may recommend to the Academic Honesty Office that a University sanction (such as a letter of reprimand, University probation, suspension or expulsion) be imposed in addition to a course sanction. In this case, a hearing panel must review the matter and make a recommendation to the Provost or designee (see below).
5. **The Academic Honesty Office will submit the course grade to the registrar if the student does not appeal.** If the student does not file an appeal within the stipulated timelines (see below), the Academic Honesty Office will submit to the appropriate registrar the course grade specified in the written notification to the student on the appropriate form signed by the instructor. **If the student does appeal, a course grade may not be submitted until the appeal process has been completed.** (In place of a grade, the instructor should submit a double underscore on the electronic grade roster).

F. HEARING PANELS

When a student files an appeal, the Academic Honesty Office will convene a panel to hear the appeal. The Hearing Panel will evaluate all evidence and arguments presented to it by the student and the instructor, and will inform the Academic Honesty Office of its findings within five business days of the conclusion of the hearing. No evidence in addition to that submitted to the Academic Honesty Office [Section G:1] may be submitted at the hearing without the permission of the chair of the Hearing Panel. The Hearing Panel will keep in confidence all matters which come before it. Its members will not communicate with each other about the nature of the allegations or the appeal prior to the hearing.

A Hearing Panel will be composed of five disinterested members of the Academic Honesty Board. Three will be members of the faculty, and two will be students of the same status (i.e., graduate or undergraduate) as the student in the case. If the instructor is a graduate student, one of the instructor members on the Panel may be replaced by a graduate student who is or has been a teaching assistant or teaching associate on the Amherst campus. One of the faculty members on the Panel shall serve as chair. A hearing may proceed with fewer than five members, but only with the consent of the student and the instructor. For appeals which proceed during the sessions outside the regular academic year, a Hearing Panel will be composed of three members, one of whom must be a faculty member, who will serve as chair, and one of whom must be a student of the same graduate or undergraduate status as the student in the case.

G. CONDUCT OF THE HEARING

The Academic Honesty Office is responsible for the reasonable implementation of these rules.

1. **Materials available to participants:** At least seven business days prior to the hearing, the student and the instructor should submit to the Academic Honesty Office copies of any materials they wish the Hearing Panel to consider, so that the Office may distribute them to the other party and the Hearing Panel for review prior to the hearing. Any new materials submitted at the hearing will be accepted only at the discretion of the chair of the Hearing Panel.
2. **Persons present at the hearing:** In addition to the Hearing Panel, only the following persons may be present at the hearing:
 - the student,
 - the instructor(s),
 - Academic Honesty Office staff who will serve as administrative support for the Hearing Panel,
 - an advisor for the student and for the instructor (who may confer with and advise the student or instructor during the course of the hearing, but who may not directly address the Hearing Panel except with consent of the Hearing Panel; the advisor may not be an attorney or an employee of an attorney),

- witnesses (who may be present at the hearing only when they are presenting evidence),
- one non-participating observer for each party may be present at a hearing (who may be an attorney or an employee of an attorney),
- other persons, at the sole discretion of the Hearing Panel.

The Hearing Panel may proceed with the hearing and make its findings even in the absence of the student, the instructor, witness(es), or advisor(s) who fail to appear. However, the Hearing Panel may not base its findings on the fact that a person has failed to appear.

3. **Evidence:** The Hearing Panel shall base its findings on a preponderance of the evidence. The Hearing Panel need not follow the technical rules of evidence, but evidence shall be admitted only if is the kind of evidence upon which reasonable persons would rely in the conduct of serious affairs. Such evidence might include, for example, documentary evidence such as written assignments, lecture or study notes, quizzes or examinations, and course syllabi, as well as the testimony of witnesses. The Hearing Panel may consider evidence presented by affidavit, giving it such weight as it deems proper. All evidence shall be taken in the presence of all members of the panel and the student and instructor, except where either is absent by default or has waived the right to be present.

4. **Presentation of the case:** The representative of the Academic Honesty Office will make a recording of the hearing. The Board will establish, and periodically review, rules of hearing consistent with this procedure. These rules of hearing [Appendix E] will be provided to the parties prior to the hearing and no later than the materials noted in Section G:1.

H. THE DECISION OF THE HEARING PANEL

The Hearing Panel will arrive at its findings by simple majority. Findings are final and are binding on all parties unless appealed [see Section J]. If the Panel finds in favor of the student, the instructor must compute the student's grade as though the suspicion of academic dishonesty had never been raised. If the hearing occurs after the semester in which the allegation was raised, the course grade is to be submitted within fifteen business days. If the Hearing Panel finds that the allegations were substantiated, and that the student has committed an act of academic dishonesty, the Panel will determine the appropriate sanction. However, the Hearing Panel may not impose a sanction more severe than the instructor's intended sanction. Any decision by the Hearing Panel to modify the instructor's sanction will require the unanimous agreement of the Hearing Panel and the rationale for so doing shall be explained in the Panel's report.

The chair will issue the written findings of the Hearing Panel, addressed to the Academic Honesty Office, within five business days of the conclusion of the hearing. The report will state the Panel's findings, and, if it finds that the allegations against the student were substantiated, the sanction imposed. The Academic Honesty Office will send a written notification to the student and the instructor within ten business days. In the case of undergraduate students, the Dean of Students will also be notified.

In instances where a University sanction is considered, the proposed sanction will be a recommendation to the Provost or designee who will make a final determination and send a written notification to the student, the instructor, and the Academic Honesty Office within ten business days. In the case of undergraduate students, the Dean of Students will also be notified.

The Hearing Panel may recommend suspension or expulsion only by agreement of 80% of the Hearing Panel. If such agreement is not obtained, a lesser sanction and/or a grade of "F" for the course in question will be recommended.

I. ADMINISTRATION OF SANCTIONS

The Academic Honesty Office will notify the appropriate registrar of the course grade and state that it represents a sanction for academic dishonesty. Where an additional sanction is recommended, the Provost or designee determines the appropriate sanction, taking into account the recommendation of the Hearing Panel, and authorizes its administration (e.g., by directing the registrar to carry out the suspension or expulsion, or directing the removal of a student from academic employment).

A student may repeat a course in which he/she has received a grade sanction for academic dishonesty. However, the grade substitution policy will not apply. The grade submitted as a course sanction will continue to be counted in the student's cumulative grade point average.

Stay of Sanctions – No sanction will be imposed on the student until all appeals have been concluded. All appeals should be resolved within one calendar year of the filing of the original appeal.

J. APPEAL OPTIONS

The Hearing Panel's judgments are final, and may not be appealed except on the basis of a violation of the rules of hearing or on the basis of new evidence.

Violation of the Rules of Hearing: A violation of the rules of hearing is defined as a failure to comply with the Rules of Hearing such that the failure reasonably may have affected the decision of the Hearing Panel to the harm of the student who has appealed. If either the student or the instructor believes that he or she was denied a reasonable opportunity to present his or her case by the Hearing Panel during the hearing, he or she may appeal in writing to the Provost or designee. This appeal must be made in writing within ten business days of the release of the Provost's or designee's decision and must describe the purported violation of due process. If the Provost or designee finds on behalf of the person making the appeal, the Provost or designee will ask the Academic Honesty Office to appoint a new panel to rehear the case. The decision of the Provost or designee will be based on her/his review of the official record of the hearing (official tape and exhibits). The Provost or designee will rule on the appeal within ten business days of its submission. This procedure shall be deemed to have been exhausted once the ruling of the Provost or designee has been rendered.

New Evidence: In the event that new and compelling evidence not available at the time of the hearing becomes available after the Hearing Panel has rendered its decision, the student may appeal to the Academic Honesty Office for a new hearing. The appeal shall be in writing and shall include a detailed description of the new evidence and an explanation as to why the new evidence should alter the initial decision of the Hearing Panel. The Office will respond to the request within ten business days of its submission. The decision of the Academic Honesty Office on this appeal is final.

K. MULTIPLE OFFENSES

A student who is found to have committed more than two acts of academic dishonesty – either through admission, failure to appeal, or finding by a hearing panel – may be subject to additional sanctions, including, but not limited to, suspension or expulsion. This provision will also apply to students who have three or more informal resolutions as well as students who have two informal resolutions and one formal finding of academic dishonesty. The Academic Honesty Office will issue a University Sanction Notification and shall convene a hearing panel to make a recommendation on this matter. The student shall be allowed ten business days to prepare for a hearing on a sanction only. At such a hearing, the Dean of Students or the Dean of the Graduate School (or their designees) will place the matter before the Hearing Panel. Sufficient information about the incidents of academic dishonesty at issue shall be given to the Panel such that the Panel is able to make a reasonable determination as to the appropriateness and nature of additional sanctions, if any.

The Hearing Panel will submit its findings to the Provost or designee according to the provisions of Section II:H.

L. RECORDS OF ALLEGATIONS, APPEALS, AND SANCTIONS

Allegations and charges of academic dishonesty shall be kept confidential. The fact that a sanction has been imposed may not be used as the basis of any action relative to the student's record outside of this process.

If a student is found to have committed an act of academic dishonesty, the appropriate registrar (graduate, undergraduate, or Continuing and Professional Education) shall be notified of the sanction by the Academic Honesty Office and shall maintain a confidential record of the sanction imposed. Records of academic dishonesty maintained as part of an undergraduate student's discipline file in the Dean of Students Office shall be expunged upon graduation except those which result in University sanctions. Records of academic dishonesty for graduate students are a permanent record. These will include sanctions administered by an instructor without an appeal from the student and sanctions administered based on a finding of a Hearing Panel. Informal resolutions do not establish a discipline record except pursuant to Section K.

APPENDIX A

DEFINITIONS

Terms used in this document have the following associated meanings:

Academic Honesty Office – The office or individual named by the Chancellor or designee to manage the procedures described herein. At the time of the implementation of this procedure, the University Ombuds Office is the Academic Honesty Office. The duties and responsibilities of the Office as defined in this procedure will be incumbent on any office or administrator succeeding the Ombuds Office in this role.

Day – Days shall be understood to be business days within the semester unless otherwise specified.

Hearing Panel – A group of five members of the Academic Honesty Board empanelled to hear appeals of charges of academic dishonesty and requests for the imposition of University sanctions.

Instructor – The person who takes action against the student because of the suspicion of academic dishonesty. This is the faculty member and/or teaching assistant/associate responsible for the course in which the academic dishonesty allegedly occurred, and/or the faculty member supervising the independent academic work of a student.

Provost or designee – At the time of the implementation of this policy, the following were the usual designees: In cases where the student is a graduate student, the Dean of the Graduate School. In cases where the student is an undergraduate student, the Deputy Provost. In cases where the student is enrolled in a course through Continuing and Professional Education and is not a matriculated University student, the Director of Continuing and Professional Education. If the Provost or designee is a party to the case, the Provost shall appoint another person to act in that capacity.

Student – The student or students suspected of academic dishonesty.

Written notification – When notification in writing is required, a suitable record of e-mail transmissions may be used in any situation where privacy rights are not infringed by the use of e-mail according to written University policy and should be sent to the recipient's University e-mail address of record unless another email address has been supplied by the recipient to the sender. It is recommended that the word "confidential" be inserted in the subject line of the message. Hard copies of all e-mail transmissions should be maintained by the sender. Written correspondence not conducted by e-mail will be sent to instructors at their departmental address and to students at their current address as recorded at the University, unless otherwise requested. Formal charges and informal resolutions must be in writing and include original signatures. (Optional forms for submission of charges and resolutions are in Appendix D.)

APPENDIX B

EXAMPLES OF ACADEMIC DISHONESTY

Academic dishonesty is the attempt to secure unfair advantage for oneself or another in any academic exercise. The following is an extensive, though not exhaustive, list of actions which are considered to be academically dishonest. Students should check with their instructor(s) if they have any question as to what is or is not permitted in a specific course. Since students are expected to be familiar with the Academic Honesty Policy and the commonly accepted standards of academic integrity, ignorance of such standards by itself is not sufficient evidence of lack of intent.

CHEATING IS the use or attempted use of trickery, artifice, deception, fraud and/or misrepresentation of one's academic work. This includes:

- copying answers from another student
- using books, notes, conversations with others, calculators, cell phones and other electronic devices or any other type of external assistance during an examination or other academic exercise without the permission of the instructor
- collaborating with others on homework, lab reports, computer programs, or other academic assignments without the permission of the instructor.
- obtaining the answers to or a copy of an examination prior to its administration

FABRICATION is the falsification or invention of any information or citation in any academic exercise. This includes:

- using "invented" information in any laboratory experiment or other academic exercise of research without permission of the instructor
- altering and resubmitting returned academic work without permission of the instructor
- misrepresenting the actual source from which information is cited (such as citing a quote from a book review as though it came from the original work)

PLAGIARISM is the representation of the words or ideas of another as one's own work in any academic exercise. This includes:

- failing to properly identify direct quotations by quotation marks or appropriate indentation and formal citation
- failing to acknowledge and properly cite paraphrasing or summarizing material from another source
- failing to acknowledge and properly cite information obtained from the Internet or other electronic media as well as other sources
- submitting term papers written by another, including those obtained from commercial term paper companies or the internet

FACILITATING DISHONESTY is knowingly helping or attempting to help another commit any act of academic dishonesty. This includes:

- substituting for another person in an examination
- allowing another to copy one's work in an examination or other academic exercise

OTHER PROHIBITED ACTIONS:

- submitting all or substantial portions of the same work to fulfill the requirements for more than one course without the prior permission of the instructor(s), including self-plagiarism

Sen. Doc. No. 07-040A

- forging or otherwise altering grades, transcripts, course withdrawal forms, or other academic document
- stealing or destroying the academic work of another, such as a computer disk, term paper, or notebook
- illegally accessing a computer hard drive

Also refer to “Memorandum from the Ombuds Office – Examples of Academic Dishonesty” in the *Code of Student Conduct*.

(http://www.umass.edu/dean_students/code_conduct)

APPENDIX C

SUGGESTIONS FOR INSTRUCTORS ON PREVENTING ACADEMIC DISHONESTY

- Spend time at the beginning of the semester discussing academic integrity, its importance and your particular standards.
- Always address the issue of academic integrity in your course syllabus as well. Cite the University Academic Honesty Policy and be specific about your particular expectations. For example, do you require a specific style for citations? Do you require a bibliography?
- Clarify what you consider to be acceptable collaboration, if any, among students.
- Use coded multiple versions of in-class exams.
- Arrange alternate seating for in-class exams. Ensure that the exam is well-proctored. If at all possible, be present throughout the exam.
- Consider requiring students to show a photo identification when taking an in-class exam.
- Be clear about what is permitted during an examination, including notes, electronic aides, cellular telephones, etc.
- Either collect papers in-class or have students drop them in a secure place. Be specific as to where and with whom papers, exams, and other assignments should be left. Leaving open boxes or envelopes outside your office or other unattended place makes “borrowing” easy.
- Meet occasionally as a department to review common practices related to academic dishonesty.
- Be creative in your choice of assignments and change assignments/exams from year to year (or semester to semester) to minimize the possibility of students successfully utilizing material from previous classes or outside sources (such as “term paper mills”).
- Make previous quizzes, exams, etc. available in a central file or on library reserve both to help students study and to become familiar with your style of testing, thus increasing their confidence and ability to perform well.

These are just a few tips for preventing academic dishonesty. There are many sources of guidance available both in print and on-line. A search for “preventing academic dishonesty” will yield many excellent resources.

APPENDIX D

FORMS

[downloadable form follows]

**Form 1: Report of Academic Dishonesty
Informal Resolution**

**Form 2: Report of Academic Dishonesty
Formal Charge**

Form 3: Appeal of Academic Dishonesty Charge

Sen. Doc. No. 07-040A
REPORT OF ACADEMIC DISHONESTY
INFORMAL RESOLUTION

STUDENT NAME _____ ID _____

COURSE _____ SEMESTER/YEAR _____

INSTRUCTOR _____ DEPT _____

E-MAIL _____ TELEPHONE # _____

DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT: Attach additional pages if necessary

RESOLUTION:

Instructor's Signature _____ Date _____

I have been informed of the Academic Honesty Policy, including the right to a formal charge and the right to appeal formal charges of academic dishonesty and I agree to the resolution described. I understand that a record of this agreement will be maintained by the Academic Honesty Office and that more than two such resolutions may result in a University Sanction Hearing.

Student's Signature _____ Date _____

Please forward this form to:
Academic Honesty Board, c/o University Ombuds Office, 823 Campus Center
Fax: 545-9720

Please call 545-0867 or e-mail: ombuds@ombuds.umass.edu with any questions.

This space for use by the Academic Honesty Office:

Received:

Sen. Doc. No. 07-040A
REPORT OF ACADEMIC DISHONESTY
FORMAL CHARGE

STUDENT NAME _____ ID _____

COURSE _____ SEMESTER/YEAR _____

INSTRUCTOR _____ DEPT _____

E-MAIL _____ TELEPHONE # _____

DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT: Attach additional pages if necessary

SANCTION: _____

**(Please note that no sanction may be implemented nor a grade submitted
until the appeal process has been completed.)**

Contacted student: YES ____ If so, date _____ NO ____

Student has been informed of the Academic Honesty Policy, including the right to appeal formal charges of academic dishonesty. YES ____ NO ____

Instructor's Signature _____ Date _____

Please forward this form to:
Academic Honesty Board, c/o University Ombuds Office, 823 Campus Center
Fax: 545-9720

Please call 545-0867 or e-mail: ombuds@ombuds.umass.edu with any questions.

This space for use by the Academic Honesty Office:

Charge received:

Notification sent:

Sen. Doc. No. 07-040A
APPEAL OF ACADEMIC DISHONESTY CHARGE

NAME _____ ID # _____

LOCAL MAILING ADDRESS _____

E-MAIL ADDRESS _____ MAJOR _____

TELEPHONE _____ CELL PHONE _____

COURSE TITLE/NUMBER _____

SEMESTER _____ INSTRUCTOR _____

BASIS OF APPEAL: Describe below the grounds on which the charge of academic dishonesty or the sanction imposed is being appealed. Use additional pages if necessary. State the type of evidence that will be presented and whether any witnesses will be called.

What days/times can you be available for a hearing? (Please note that hearings are not held in the evening or on weekends.)

Signature and Date

Please forward this form to:
Academic Honesty Board, c/o Academic Honesty Office, 823 Campus Center
Fax: 545-9720

Please call 545-0867 or e-mail: ombuds@ombuds.umass.edu with any questions.

APPENDIX E

ACADEMIC HONESTY BOARD

STATEMENT OF PROCEDURES

(Revised September 2007)

The Academic Honesty Board does not pass judgment on the integrity of either the instructor or student(s) appearing before it. Its task is to make a finding based on the facts presented. Therefore, it is important for instructor and student(s) to submit, prior to the hearing, as much information as they can in support of their point of view. Any new materials submitted at the hearing will be accepted at the discretion of the Chair of the Hearing Panel.

Student(s) and instructor(s) may also bring to the hearing any witnesses with direct and pertinent information. Witnesses may be present at the hearing only when they are presenting evidence.

Instructor(s) and student(s) may also bring to the hearing an advisor with whom the instructor(s) or student(s) may confer during the hearing. The advisor may not participate directly in the hearing. (For other persons allowed to attend the hearing, refer to Academic Honesty Policy, II: G: 2.)

The hearing will be conducted in the following manner:

- (1) The student(s) and instructor (or dean/designee) will be present in the hearing room at the same time.
- (2) The instructor (or dean/designee) may speak for ten minutes. Any witnesses for the instructor (or dean/designee) may speak for five minutes each.
- (3) Members of the Hearing Panel will question the instructor (or dean/designee) and any witnesses.
- (4) The student(s) may speak for ten minutes (each). Any witnesses for the student(s) may speak for five minutes each.
- (5) Members of the Hearing Panel will question the student(s) and any witnesses.
- (6) At this point, the instructor (or dean/designee) and student(s) may address questions and/or responses to the other through the Chair of the Hearing Panel.
- (7) The instructor (or dean/designee) and student(s) will be given ten minutes each for closing statements.
- (8) The student(s) and instructor (or dean/designee) will leave and the Hearing Panel will discuss the evidence and testimony and render a decision.
- (9) Within five business days of the conclusion of the hearing, the Chair will issue the written decision of the Hearing panel, addressed to the Academic Honesty Office which will then notify the parties within ten business days.
- (10) The chair of the Hearing Panel has the authority to modify procedures during the course of the hearing to accommodate unforeseen circumstances or needs of the parties or the Hearing Panel.
- (11) An audio recording will be made of the hearing.

MOVED: That the Faculty Senate approve the Policy and Procedures concerning Academic Honesty, as presented in Sen. Doc. No. 07-040A.