In a "before the law" perspective, Normativity is described as being represented for the general, not embodied in one individual, and not for a particular interest. In the common place of law one lady had a well fall down on her in her office, she did not sue, saying that she just felt that's how people handle things. Another lady stated "we want things out amongst ourselves", if you have a dispute with a neighbor, "the police are not our chaps are here to babysit." Mrs Grange stating "but I don't want any one else to become a victim of thugs to hooligans... I know and won't you do it?" By story in the file... Mrs Grange in her with the law perspective" is reporting her case for the general good of the public, not for her particular interest. She also wants to get her case in the files because she knows once it's in the files and facts are on paper, they could become a very important component in the courts if a suspect is deemed responsible for this crime. In the common place of law facts on paper are huge. Even the judge, which occupy this pinnacle of hierarchy are constrained by facts on paper.
Mrs Grange also realizes that capacity is located in the system, there is nothing she can do but rely on the police to protect her and find the two dissuaders. She knows, by her getting to the police station the system will then determine if her objectives are met.

In the case of Consini, the "against the law" perspective is emphasized. In the normativity of against the law, law is both impartial and partial but only partial to those with power and to those who are in control. "Right makes right." In the case of Consini law expressed a failure to render justice, and he therefore has lost faith stating "My car was stolen again...where were the police." In the "against the law" perspective law is a "clumsy giant," and is unable to react quickly enough to get results and protect a people. But in the against the law perspective capacity is located and found out of the system. This is the way people look for justice since in this perspective the law cannot render it. In the common place of law an elderly man was having issues at his
None but in results were being met; Social Action here comes into play. Its elderly man presented to be a woman to get his results, to police were tore in no time. I realize capacity is located out of the system some as organized his own neighborhood watch taking things in his own hands. This is similar to the case of Esposito who also took law into self help and tried to look for justice outside of the law. He organized his block against street crime, and began "taking care of business," we also see in this against the law perspective law is disconnected with morality. In the case Esposito, he began beating up anyone suspected of crime. By beating to lows of evidence inside and out he was able to stretch capacity and manipulate the spin Esposito was searching for justice beyond the law. It knew from an against the law perspective law was "timeless" and one in to realm of law are would become restricted. To achieve his objective fast and now he took control.
In the case of Sansini, it also expresses a "with the law" perspective. In this perspective, self-interest is trying to be reached in this game. Sansini continually says "I" and "my," expressing that I paid $5,000 for that beauty, my car was stolen again. This case could be viewed as with the law because this case is strictly about him and unlike the "with the law" perspective has no connection to the public good, but instead represents his particular interest, which is getting justice for theft of his car. In this perspective, it's evident you either win or lose. There is no sense of justice. Sansini feels if it accomplishes his objectives he will win. He states he has filled his request... I know my rights." In this rules and determine what legal rules regulations, procedures, ordinances, are all his businesses and socially constructed. These are deemed to be susceptible to change, contested and through self-motivation. He states let your commander walk. In the with the law perspective capacity is stretched by relying upon more
to one individual person so it makes it known to everyone. He also has experience with this case because this is the second time his car has been stolen. Through his experience he uses it.
People obey the law based on morals, and what their conscience tells them is correct and in harmony with God. In his letter from Birmingham jail, Martin Luther King says there are two laws to just and unjust, to just being the law in harmony with God, and uplifts human personality, to unjust being the law that degrades personality. It is what is right that is usually right more than the abuse as a good reason. Will people obey the law, but the main reason is expected to be and authority we follow. The states the minute we are born law is drilled in our hands, that we are able to go to school where law is emphasized. At school, we are told to behave, respect the teacher, and listen, to respect classmates. In our society, law becomes the law of our customary practices. The secret in our country is that our customary practices become the way in which we obey and abide by rules and regulations.
In law and authority, law is stated as being moral, and highly emphasized by our morals. It enforces respect for the law by protecting the people against these rules. Stanley diamond has a different perspective though. He believes social behavior influences customary practices which in turn reflect law. I am in harmony with Peter M. Patrin in believing that we are programmed to respect law from childhood. This ten enables us to obey law since it was with us from the beginning of our time.