Legal Studies 491 C
Law and Conscience
Professor Arons
arons@legal.umass.edu
120 Gordon Hall

Books: Bolt, A Man for All Seasons;
Camus, The Stranger; Zinn, Emma;
Ibsen/Miller, An Enemy of the People;
Colby, Long Goodbye; Dean, Blind
Ambition; Kinoy, Rights on Trial.

Films: The Front; King: Montgomery to
Memphis; Sophie’s Choice; Constitution in
Crisis

Syllabus for Fall, 2006

The OBJECTIVE OF THE COURSE is to explore, together, the nature of conscience and its interaction with law--to try to grapple with fundamental issues of the human condition that are as old as Socrates’ trial and as modern as war crimes in The Sudan or the American response to international terrorism. This is an advanced course; and in addition to requiring that you be a senior or junior Legal Studies major, it assumes that each of you has had a reasonable amount of experience in thinking about the nature of law and about fundamental issues of ethics, conflict, and order in modern society. It is also a course that makes extensive use of literature as a framework for discussion, and that requires active participation in discussion of each work. There is a good deal of reading in the course; and I expect that you will devote considerable energy to the quality of your required writing assignments, whether short or long. This semester the seminar is a bit large, twenty students instead of 12-14, but the expectation remains that each of you will carry an equal burden of making discussion active and interesting.

The course number and content—and the fact that this is a seminar—should make it clear that you should not be enrolled until you feel prepared to benefit from what I hope will be an intense, cooperative, and interesting experience. I urge you to think carefully about what is expected and what you are prepared to put into the course before deciding to take it. Please read the entire syllabus before making that decision. The course will be offered again. You may take it when you feel that you are prepared to benefit from it.

Because conscience is so often at odds with power, and because we expect that both will be part of the law, the issues raised in this course present a complex mix of rational analysis and personal reflection. To try to do justice to this complexity we will use literature and film to help us focus on dilemmas in which there is a tension between law and conscience. This is only one way to explore enduring questions about the nature of law. But it has the virtue of giving us a common starting point in the richness of literature and film. In conducting our exploration we shall be equally concerned with the individual struggle, the history, contemporaneous culture and politics that form the context of any struggle, and the nature of law. Issues of how group values (derived from subculture and heritage) affect law and conscience will arise in connection with a number of the works.

There may be themes in our discussions that might be described as jurisprudential, i.e. involving competing conceptions of the realities and philosophy of law; and there are sub-themes about the role of conscience in private and public life that may emerge. But our attention in each discussion will be directed primarily at the work that we are studying, not toward fitting
that work within a pre-arranged outline of "important issues." In fact, there is no such prearranged outline. **The most important work you will do in this course, therefore, is to think deeply enough about each play, novel, memoir, history, or film to formulate an understanding of each work and to arrive at your own judgments about the common themes that emerge as discussion proceeds.** You will be asked to make your thinking about these themes a part of your writing in the course in two essays written during the semester and in one at the end of the course. Attendance, participation, timely completion of assignments, and active learning will count heavily toward your grade. There will be no exams, no lectures, and absolutely no tolerance for academic dishonesty.

**YOUR TIME-COMMITMENT**

The class session of November 8th, during which we see a film about Dr. King, runs to 6:30. If your schedule prevents you from staying late on this date, you must notify me immediately, since this may make it impossible for you to complete the course requirements.

Please note also that there are three very long books to read, *Long Goodbye*, *Blind Ambition*, and *Rights on Trial*. I have given extra time for these assignments by the scheduling of movies for which there is minimal preparation required. This can work well if you follow my suggestions in the syllabus and if you plan and manage your time effectively. Still, there is a good deal of reading; and you should consider carefully whether you are prepared to make the commitment to doing it completely and carefully.

This is a relatively small class based *entirely* on discussion. That means not only that your careful preparation *before* class and your thoughtful participation *in* class are essential, but that both preparation and participation should be based on the assumption that each person in the class has an equal responsibility with me to keep the discussion active, interesting, focused, and thoughtful. The reflection essay assignments (see below) should help you with this by encouraging you to come to class with comments, questions, and ideas already formed. It may seem a little primitive for an advanced class, but attendance will be required; and un-excused absences will lower your course grade.

We meet only once each week, for 2 ½ hours; and almost every week requires the reading and preparation of a book and the writing of a reflection essay. This is probably a new experience for many of you; and because of its unfamiliarity and the fact that it is a different format than that of most of your other courses, you may find that it takes some getting used to. In deciding whether to remain in the course, reflect about the focus and time-management required to prepare adequately for a course that meets less frequently and for a longer time.

**REFLECTION ESSAYS**

A reflection essay is required virtually every week. It must be handed in the day *before* class for *written works* on the syllabus, and two days *after* class for *films* shown in class. A reflection essay requires you to write 2 pages reflecting on some aspect or all of the reading or film for the class. No research is needed. **It is your reflective personal, intellectual, and emotional reactions to the reading or viewing that interest me.** These essays will not be graded; however, you must hand them in *on time* or you lose substantial credit from your final grade. There are eleven reflection essays assigned, and you will be permitted to miss two of these without penalty. Reflection essays are due in the designated box in the main Legal Studies office (102 Gordon Hall) no later than 4 p.m. on the Tuesday *before* the Wednesday in which the reading will be discussed (the film reflection essays are due no later than 2 p.m. on the Friday
following the film.

**GRADING AND WRITING**

This is a seminar-style course with high expectations for reading, writing, and discussion. If you are not a good reader and do not enjoy reading, you should reconsider taking this course. If you are unwilling to participate in discussion and only want to listen, or if you are unwilling to listen but only want to talk, you should also reconsider taking this course. Part of the purpose of this seminar is to learn how to take responsibility for contributing to a discussion, keeping it focused, and shaping its direction jointly with others. Good discussion also requires the development of the skill of careful listening. You should proceed on the assumption that the instructor is not the only person who has something to say that is worth thinking about or taking notes on. Grading will be based on 1) thoughtful class participation; 2) three essays, two of five pages, and a final paper of 8-10 pages; and 3) attendance and the *timely* completion of nine of the eleven reflection essays.

Writing is also an important part of my expectations for your participation in the seminar—because writing is an important part of clear and creative thinking as well as of careful analysis. Although you will get written or verbal reactions from me to virtually all your written work, writing instruction is not a part of this course. As with the amount of required reading, you should reconsider your enrollment in the course if you dislike writing or do not feel comfortable with the amount of writing required. Eleven 2-page reflection essays, two 5-page essays and one 8-10-page essay constitute a great deal of writing. Note that the reflection essays are un-graded, and may therefore provide an opportunity to relax and experiment with your writing. The two five-page essays and the final paper of 8-10 pages are more formal and will be graded. The final essay will provide you an opportunity to think about some of the broad themes of the course as you understand them and to show how they are reflected in a number of the readings and films that we have discussed.

**BOOKS**

All the books are at the Jeffrey-Amherst Bookshop textbook annex behind the store in the center of Amherst. There are only five copies of *Blind Ambition* (out of print) at the bookstore; those who do not buy *Blind Ambition* there should order it *soon* through an online seller of used books such as alibris or amazon. Be sure to purchase all the books before “extras” are sent back to the publishers. Access to *Rights on Trial* (which is also out of print) will be arranged through the University library and inter-library loan, and you will be informed in November about how this loan program will work.

**SCHEDULE OF CLASSES**

The works that we will be studying each stand on their own, but each may contribute to our thinking about the relationship of law, power, individual and collective conscience, and the political life
of the nation. We consider We start with a masterful 16th century lawyer (Thomas More) who could only preserve his conscience at the cost of his career, his family, and ultimately his life. Whether More succeeded in his struggle is a judgment each of us and history may make. We end with another lawyer, Arthur Kinoy, who tried to find an effective way to make law an instrument of conscience in the late 20th Century.

CLASS MEETS IN GORDON HALL 303-304, EXCEPT 9/6 AND 10/4

* indicates a reflection essay on a reading due in the Legal Studies office by Tuesday, 4 p.m. before the class in which the work will be discussed.
** indicates a reflection essay on a film due within 48 hours of the class in which film was shown (by Friday 2 p.m).

9/6 Introduction: The many individual and collective understandings of “conscience”—in morality, religion, science, law, psychology and philosophy. We will engage in some preliminary reflections on the relationship between law and conscience.

9/13 "A Man for All Seasons"*

9/20 “An Enemy of the People”* The Ibsen/Miller play has some things in common with Bolt’s play. Focus your reflection essay on some differences between the two stories that seems significant to you, e.g. that the source of power and form of government in Bolt was a monarchy whereas in Ibsen/Miller it is democracy.

9/27 The Stranger*

10/4 “The Front”** Optional excerpts from Navasky’s Naming Names, about McCarthyism in Hollywood, will be distributed 9/27. Please note that we will not meet in Gordon Hall to see and discuss this film. The meeting place may be 422 Tobin (our back-up classroom) or an auditorium, if I can find one available.

10/11 No Class; Monday Schedule, but

1ST 5-PAGE ESSAY DUE Wednesday, 10/11, in Legal Studies office by 4 PM

10/18 “Emma”* (after this class, begin reading Colby’s Long Goodbye for discussion 11/1)

10/25 “Sophie’s Choice,”** film and discussion. (You should be about ½ done with Long Goodbye)

11/1 Long Goodbye: the Deaths of Nancy Cruzan* (Colby)
11/8 "Montgomery to Memphis"** [3-hr film: in class--be prepared to stay until 6:30]. Be sure that your reflection essay is handed in by Friday, 2 p.m. You may use email attachment to submit your essay, but I must receive the essay by Friday at 2.

11/15 See the film “Amistad”** on your own before the 11/15 class, and bring a reflection essay about it with you to class. In class on 11/15, we will discuss both “Amistad” and “Montgomery to Memphis.” Your Montgomery to Memphis reflection essay and that of another student will be returned to you with my comments to help start discussion.

Between 11/15 and the end of Thanksgiving break, see “All the President’s Men” with Robert Redford and Dustin Hoffman on your own and then start reading John Dean’s Blind Ambition* (it’s a long book but readable if you see the Redford movie about Watergate before you start reading). There is no reflection essay on this film; but there is a reflection essay on the book, due the day before class discussion on 11/29.

11/22 No class—Thanksgiving

2ND 5-PAGE ESSAY DUE WEDNESDAY, 11/22 12 noon, in Legal Studies Office

11/29 Blind Ambition* You should have plenty of time to read it if you see the film “All the President’s Men” shortly after our class of 11/15 and then start reading the book.

12/6 View and discuss Bill Moyers’ tape on the Iran/Contra scandal, “The Constitution in Crisis.”

12/13 Rights On Trial** Note that we have made special arrangements for you to get the book from the library, and that it is important to follow the instructions for library loans in mid-November.

FINAL ESSAY OF 8-10 PAGES DUE DURING EXAM WEEK

PLEASE NOTE-- Blind Ambition is out of print and has been for many years. You will therefore have to get it from a library, by ordering a used copy online from a bookseller that deals with used books, or you may buy one of the 5 used copies at the Jeffrey Amherst. The cost should be very low. **PLEASE ALSO NOTE— Rights on Trial is also out of print. I have arranged a way for you to get it through interlibrary loan.