

# Update to the Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects

Carol Bova PhD, RN  
Professor of Nursing & Medicine; IRB Chairperson  
UMass Medical School

**ISSR Faculty Scholars Program**

UMass Amherst

April 17, 2019

# What is the common rule?

---

- ▶ The Common Rule has been in place since 1991 and governs all research that is conducted, supported or regulated by the federal government
- ▶ IRBs may also apply these regulations to non-federally funded research
- ▶ January 19, 2017 – 16 federal agencies including DHHS published a revision to the common rule – most provisions **were** to take **effect on January 20, 2018**
  - ▶ Notable exceptions: FDA and Dept. of Justice
- ▶ A one-year delay was implemented on January 18, 2018



# Why the Change?

---

- ▶ **The changes are meant to address:**
  - ▶ Advances in genetics and genomics
  - ▶ Concerns about privacy and autonomy and how biospecimens are procured, handled, and maintained
  - ▶ Greater need for “public” understanding during consent—through the use of the “reasonable person standard”
  - ▶ Single IRB review for multi-site studies
  - ▶ Reducing IRB process burden



# Which Regulation Applies?

---

- ▶ For research approved before January 20, 2019 – the old rule applies (now the called pre-2018 rule)
- ▶ For research approved after January 20, 2019 - the new rule applies (now called the post- 2018 rule)
- ▶ FDA and DOJ- regulated research remains under the old rule for now
  - ▶ Expectation is that the FDA and DOJ will eventually issue a revision (hopefully involving harmonization with the revised Common Rule)



# What changes might be important to social science and bio-behavioral researchers?

---

- ▶ New and revised definitions
- ▶ Revised exemption categories
- ▶ Single IRB review for multi-site research conducted in the U.S. – **effective January 20, 2020**
  - ▶ **Use of reliance agreements such as SMART IRB**
- ▶ No longer require congruency between IRB documents and grants
- ▶ Revised requirements for informed consent
- ▶ Changes to category of vulnerable subjects
- ▶ Changes to expedited review procedures



# Revised Human Subjects Definition

---

- ▶ The definition of human subject has been expanded to cover collection of “identifiable biospecimens”
  - ▶ Human subject means a living individual about whom an investigator conducting research obtains information *or biospecimens* through intervention or interaction with the individual, and uses, studies, or analyzes the information *or biospecimens* **or** obtains, uses studies analyzes or generates *identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens*



# Revised clinical trial definition

---

- ▶ A clinical trial is a research study in which one or more human subjects are prospectively assigned to one or more interventions to evaluate the effects of the interventions on biomedical or behavioral –related outcomes



# Vulnerable Subjects

---

- ▶ **Changed to:**
  - ▶ Children
  - ▶ Prisoners
  - ▶ Individuals with impaired decision-making capacity
  - ▶ Economically or educationally disadvantaged persons



# Changes to Exemptions

---

- ▶ Changes 5 of the exempt categories and added 3 new categories with a new type of review called “limited review”
- ▶ Limited review – used for studies that are:
  - ▶ minimal risk
  - ▶ exempt
  - ▶ maintain subjects’ identifiable information or biospecimens
    - ▶ Limited review focuses on security, privacy, and confidentiality of identifiable private information



# Exemption Changes (cont.)

---

- ▶ **Issues that may of be interest:**
  - ▶ Research conducted in established **educational settings**
    - ▶ **MUST DISCUSS IN YOUR APPLICATION:** Can not have an adverse impact on student's opportunity to learn, required content or the assessment of educators who provide instruction
  - ▶ **Survey procedures, interview procedures or observation** of public behavior may be exempt if no identifiers are collected or may be subject to “limited review” if you plan to collect and retain linkage to identifiers
  - ▶ **Secondary research** - deidentified data – no linkages – researchers will not re-contact or be able to re-identify subjects



# Exemption Changes (cont.)

---

- ▶ Research involving **benign behavioral interventions** in “adults”
  - ▶ Benign behavioral interventions: brief in duration, harmless, painless, not physically invasive
- ▶ **Demonstration projects** that are supported by Federal department or agency – designed to study, evaluate or improve public benefit or service programs
  - ▶ (NEW: each agency must maintain a public list of these projects to be published prior to conducting research)



# Exemption Determinations

---

## ▶ Still

- ▶ Must be determined by the IRB (not by individual investigators)
- ▶ Need to submit an application to the IRB
- ▶ The new rule –permits no continuing review for minimal risk studies - however each institution is allowed to set their own parameters on this
  - ▶ So need to check with your IRB on these issues



# Main Revisions to Consent

---

- ▶ Consent process will now use a “**reasonable person standard**”
  - ▶ Facilitate a persons understanding of the reasons why he/she might or might not want to participate in a particular research study
  - ▶ **KEY INFORMATION** section:
    - ▶ provides information that is essential to decision making and must appear at the beginning of the consent form and in the consent discussion = results in a revision to our informed consent template
    - ▶ What would a reasonable person need to know to make a decision whether he/she wanted to read further about the study?



# What must be included in the **key information** section?

---

- ▶ This is research
- ▶ Voluntary participation
- ▶ Purpose of the research
- ▶ Duration of participation
- ▶ Main procedures
- ▶ Reasonable risks and potential benefits
- ▶ Alternatives available
  - ▶ (the remaining part of the consent form will document the details)



# What else has been added as a consent requirement?

---

- ▶ Indicate whether data/specimens will be used or distributed for future research
- ▶ Whether specimens may or may not be used for commercial profit and whether there is potential for the subject to share in profit
- ▶ **If, How, and When research results will be disclosed to participants**
- ▶ Whether whole genome sequencing of biospecimens will be done



# Broad Consent

---

- ▶ **Alternative to traditional study-specific consent (optional)**
  - ▶ Applies only for storage, maintenance and secondary use of identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens that **already exist** for non-research purposes (e.g., clinical data, leftover specimens ...)
    - ▶ Requires an infrastructure that tracks patient responses and changes over time
    - ▶ Many institutions have a wait and see approach to this....



# Other Notable Changes

---

- ▶ Posting of consent form for clinical trial research;
- ▶ Electronic signatures allowed;
- ▶ Waive requirement for signed consents if subjects are members of a cultural group or community in which signing forms is not the norm;
- ▶ Eliminated continuing review for some minimal risk studies



# FYI

---

- ▶ Separate but related issue (effective October 2017):
  - ▶ NIH automatic issuing of **certificates of confidentiality** to all NIH-funded studies when identifiable sensitive information are being collected and/or linked with biospecimens
  - ▶ COC language in consent documents required



Thank you – any questions?

[carol.bova@umassmed.edu](mailto:carol.bova@umassmed.edu)

508 856-1848