Birdsong and the neural production of steroids


Abstract

The forebrain circuits involved in singing and audition (the ‘song system’) in songbirds exhibit a remarkable capacity to synthesize and respond to steroid hormones. This review considers how local brain steroid production impacts the development, sexual differentiation, and activity of song system circuitry. The songbird forebrain contains all of the enzymes necessary for the de novo synthesis of steroids – including neuroestrogens – from cholesterol. Steroid production enzymes are found in neuronal cell bodies, but they are also expressed in pre-synaptic terminals in the song system, indicating a novel mode of brain steroid delivery to local circuits. The song system expresses nuclear hormone receptors, consistent with local action of brain-derived steroids. Local steroid production also occurs in brain regions that do not express nuclear hormone receptors, suggesting a non-classical mode of action. Recent evidence indicates that local steroid levels can change rapidly within the forebrain, in a manner similar to traditional neuromodulators. Lastly, we consider growing evidence for modulatory interactions between brain-derived steroids and neurotransmitter/neuropeptide networks within the song system. Songbirds have therefore emerged as a rich and powerful model system to explore the neural and neurochemical regulation of social behavior.
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1. Introduction

The role of circulating sex steroids in the regulation of birdsong has been reviewed extensively elsewhere (Bottjer and Johnson, 1997; Schlinger, 1997; Schlinger and Brenowitz, 2002). In this review, we evaluate the evidence that brain-derived steroids, or ‘neurosteroids’, influence the formation and activity of brain circuits that are involved in birdsong. The capacity of the avian forebrain to produce its own supply of steroids de novo has recently emerged, and we present evidence that forebrain steroid production is linked to the mechanisms of both singing and audition. We consider evidence that the enzymes that synthesize neurosteroids from cholesterol are expressed in or near brain regions involved in song production and audition. We then describe the way that some song system circuits express classical steroid receptors, while others do not, suggesting that locally produced neurosteroids can exert actions via both classical and non-classical steroid receptors. We follow with evidence that neurosteroid levels are subject to dynamic fluctuations in the avian forebrain when measured directly, and that steroids can exert actions on neurons in the avian brain, within both long-term (days–weeks) and acute (seconds–minutes) timescales. Lastly, we summarize recent studies indicating that neurosteroids have the capacity to interact with neurotransmitters within the avian forebrain, and we discuss the implications for neurosteroid regulation of birdsong.

A fundamental theme of this review is therefore that the songbird brain has the capacity to generate and respond to changing neurosteroid levels on a variety of timescales. As we describe, in addition to the well-characterized long-term effects of steroids in the song system, there is now growing evidence for acute changes in neurosteroid levels within the song system. Neuroanatomical and neurochemical studies together indicate that steroids can have substantially localized actions within the forebrain circuits involved in singing and audition in songbirds. Thus, although it is now widely appreciated that seasonal and developmental changes in gonadal and adrenal steroid production can lead to dramatic changes in songbird brain function and behavior, recent findings now shed light on the avian brain as not only a target, but also as a source for rapidly fluctuating steroid levels in response to environmental cues.

The primary focus of this review is on the actions and regulation of brain-derived androgens and estrogens in the songbird brain because the most complete description of steroid action in the songbird brain is for estrogens and androgens and their associated receptors (e.g., Gahr, 2001; Schlinger and Brenowitz, 2002). Nevertheless, there is good evidence for neural expression and regulation of progesterone receptors in chickens (Camacho-Arroyo et al., 2007), quail and doves (Askew et al., 1997; Belle et al., 2005), but to our knowledge there is to date no report for the distribution of progesterone receptors in songbirds (for progesterone autoradiography, see Lubischer and Arnold, 1990). Likewise, the binding characteristics for corticosteroid receptors have been described in the songbird brain (Brenner and Orchick, 2003), but the neuroanatomical distribution of corticosteroid receptor types are unknown.

1.1. The avian ‘song system’

Traditionally, the ‘song system’ of passerine birds has been defined as the interconnected network of forebrain nuclei involved in the motor production of song, comprised of HVC (proper name), RA (robust nucleus of the arcopallium), and song learning, comprised of LMAN (lateral magnocellular nucleus of the anterior nidopallium), Area X, and DLM (dorsal lateral nucleus of the medial thalamus) (Farries, 2001; Leonardo and Fee, 2005). These nuclei regulate the activity of the hindbrain motor nucleus Xlls (tracheosyringeal region of the twelfth cranial nucleus), that in turn innervates the avian vocal organ, the syrinx, and patterns song. A parallel ascending auditory network in the forebrain is comprised of Field L, NCM (caudomedial nidopallium), CMM (caudomedial mesopallium) and NIF (nucleus interface of the nidopallium), and this network provides extensive auditory information to the motor pathway via HVC and RAshelf. Therefore, as a functional group, circuits within the auditory network (including HVC) are together characterized by their responsiveness to, and processing of song and other auditory stimuli. Because birdsong involves coordinated function of both motor and auditory circuits, this review will refer to the ‘song system’ as the interconnected network of forebrain nuclei involved in both auditory and motor processing of song.

2. Neurosteroidogenic enzymes

2.1. Expression in the song system

All steroids derive from cholesterol, which can be synthesized within the brain for de novo neurosteroidogenesis (Dietschy and Turley, 2001). To synthesize estrogen from cholesterol, four major steroidalogenic enzymes and at least one carrier protein are necessary (Fig. 1). The initial step in steroid synthesis is the

Fig. 1. Steroidogenesis pathway: Enzymes and cholesterol transport proteins are in bold. Enzyme abbreviations: cytochrome P450 side-chain cleavage = CYP11A1; cytochrome P450 17α-hydroxylase/C17,20 lyase = CYP17; 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/D5-D4 isomerase = 3β-HSD; 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase = 17β-HSD; cytochrome P450 19A1 = aromatase. Cholesterol transport protein abbreviations: translocator protein (previously known as the peripheral-type benzodiazepine receptor) = TSPO; steroidogenic acute regulatory protein = StAR.
transport of cholesterol to the inner mitochondrial membrane, where the first steroidogenic enzyme resides. Two proteins have been implicated in cholesterol transport through the interstitial space of the mitochondria: the steroidalogenic acute regulatory protein (STAR) and the mitochondrial translocator protein (TSPO; previously known as the peripheral-type benzodiazepine receptor). These proteins, potentially working in a complex (Papadopoulos et al., 2007), facilitate the movement of cholesterol so it can be cleaved by cytochrome P450 side chain cleavage (CYP1A1) to produce pregnenolone, the first steroid in the steroidogenic pathway. Pregnenolone can be further metabolized by two enzymes: 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/Δ5-Δ4 isomerase (3β-HSD) or cytochrome P450 17α-hydroxylase/17,20 lyase (CYP17). The activity of 3β-HSD converts pregnenolone to progesterone, and CYP17 converts pregnenolone to the androgen dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA). 3β-HSD can also act on DHEA to synthesize another androgen, androstenedione (AE), and CYP17 converts progesterone to AE. Aromatase is the enzyme necessary to convert androgens such as AE and testosterone to estrone and estradiol, respectively. AE and testosterone, and estrone and estradiol, can themselves be interconverted through the action of types of 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases (17β-HSD). The enzymes 5α- and 5β-reductase are also active in avian brain, and are involved in the reduction of androgens (e.g., to produce the potent androgen 5α-dihydrotestosterone (DHT)) and progestins (e.g., to produce the reduced pregnanes 5α- and 5β-allopregnanolone that allosterically modulate the GABA receptor, see below).

The presence of aromatase in the zebra finch brain garnered particular attention because the sexually dimorphic zebra finch song circuit is steroid-sensitive but not dependent upon gonadally synthesized steroids (Wade et al., 1996). In particular, aromatase can functionally masculinize the song system in females, who are capable of singing (Adkins-Regan et al., 1994). Other steroidogenic factors have minimal or no masculinizing effects on the song system with no obvious behavioral impact (Schilder and Arnold, 1991a; Grisham and Arnold, 1995; Jacobs et al., 1995). It was therefore possible that the male brain could convert androgens from the periphery into masculinizing estradiol within the song system itself.

To date, aromatase remains the most studied steroidogenic enzyme in the zebra finch brain. Its mRNA expression (Shen et al., 1994, 1995; Jacobs et al., 1999; Ramachandran et al., 1999; Perlman and Arnold, 2003), protein localization (Balthazart et al., 1995; Saldanha et al., 2000) and enzymatic activity (Wade et al., 1996). In particular, estradiol can functionally masculinize the song system in females, who are capable of singing (Adkins-Regan et al., 1994). Other steroidogenic factors have minimal or no masculinizing effects on the song system with no obvious behavioral impact (Schilder and Arnold, 1991a; Grisham and Arnold, 1995; Jacobs et al., 1995). It was therefore possible that the male brain could convert androgens from the periphery into masculinizing estradiol within the song system itself.

To date, aromatase remains the most studied steroidogenic enzyme in the zebra finch brain. Its mRNA expression (Shen et al., 1994, 1995; Jacobs et al., 1999; Ramachandran et al., 1999; Perlman and Arnold, 2003), protein localization (Balthazart et al., 1995; Saldanha et al., 2000) and enzymatic activity (Vockel et al., 1990; Schlinger and Arnold, 1991b; Schlinger et al., 1994; Wade et al., 1996; Freking et al., 1998; Rohmann et al., 2007; Tam and Schlinger, 2007; Remage-Healey et al., 2009) have all been measured. Generally, aromatase is found throughout the developing and adult male and female zebra finch brain when measured in a relatively “static” state (see comparisons below). Surprisingly, aromatase was essentially absent in the soma of neurons within song system nuclei themselves (Shen et al., 1995; Jacobs et al., 1999) (Saldanha et al., 2000) and with similar measures, no sex differences in aromatase were detected (but see below and Saldanha et al., 2000; Peterson et al., 2005; Rohmann et al., 2007). For a long time, therefore, the potential role for neurally produced estradiol within the song system was uncertain.

More recently, aromatase within synaptic terminals of song system neurons has been identified. Initially, aromatase was identified in neuronal fibers and punctuate terminals within the song system of zebra finches (Saldanha et al., 2000). When investigated using electron microscopy, it was discovered that within the song system, much or all aromatase is present within synaptic terminals rather than the somata (Peterson et al., 2005). In this study, no significant differences in aromatase levels were detected within each brain area, though there was an overall greater amount of aromatase-containing synaptic terminals in male brains compared to female brains (Peterson et al., 2005). This suggested that there was an alternative mechanism for estradiol provision within the male and female brain. A subsequent experiment reported higher levels of the biochemical activity of the enzyme aromatase (aromatase activity) within prepared synaptosomes as compared to other subcellular compartments where aromatase likely resides (Rohmann et al., 2007), confirming the potential for synaptic aromatase to have functional consequences. Further, this study demonstrated a regional difference in levels of synaptic aromatase activity, indicating the potential for higher estradiol concentrations in at least some components of the song system than in other, non-song brain regions (Rohmann et al., 2007). The potential for synaptic aromatase to be functionally relevant in this system has been recently illustrated by an increase in aromatase activity within the dorsal posterior telencephalon in adult males that have engaged in song (Remage-Healey et al., 2009).

The implications of these observations are discussed further below. Aromatase has been found in the auditory forebrain (Shen et al., 1995; Saldanha et al., 2000; Pinaud et al., 2006), which has been shown to be required for auditory learning in developing and adult birds (Mello et al., 1995; Yates et al., 1996; Phan et al., 2006; Gobes and Bolhuis, 2007; Dong and Clayton, 2008; London and Clayton, 2008). This region is comprised of Field L, NCM, and CMM. There are a few sex differences known in this area (Saldanha et al., 2000; Bolhuis and Gahr, 2006; Pinaud et al., 2006), but aromatase expression is high in at least one portion of this brain area in adult zebra finches, the caudal NCM (Saldanha et al., 2000; Pinaud et al., 2006). Functional implications of these findings are discussed in more detail below.

Aromatase is not, however, the only steroidogenic factor with neural relevance within the songbird brain. In fact, all of the steroidogenic factors required for de novo estrogen synthesis are present in developing and adult zebra finch brain, mostly identified by the presence of mRNA and enzymatic activity (Schilder et al., 1995, 1999; Wade et al., 1995; Vanson et al., 1996; Cam and Schlinger, 1998; Freking et al., 1998; London et al., 2003, 2006; Tam and Schlinger, 2007, London et al., unpublished). This could have consequences for both the developmental organization and the mature function of the song system.

Steroidogenic factors have been detected in the zebra finch brain as early as the first day of posthatch life (London et al., 2003, 2006; Perlman and Arnold, 2003; London and Schlinger, 2007). Song system nuclei are not identifiable until approximately posthatch days 7–10 (Gahr and Metzdorf, 1999; Kim et al., 2004). Thus, early neurosteroid production may impact the organization of the song system. The most likely place this would occur is in the cellular region surrounding the lateral ventricles.

The cellular zones along the lateral ventricles are the major sites of cell proliferation in adult and juvenile songbirds (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 1990; DeWulf and Bottjer, 2002, 2005), and show high levels of expression of STAR, CYP1A1, 3β-HSD, CYP17, and 17β-HSD type 4 at posthatch days 1 and 5 (London et al., 2003, 2007; London and Schlinger, 2007, London et al., unpublished). Interestingly, neither the distribution of highly mitotic regions nor the distribution of steroidogenic factor gene expression is uniform along the rostral–caudal and dorso–ventral extents of the ventricle. In fact, the regions with the highest expression of steroidogenic genes (as measured by how far lateral the hybridization for steroidogenic genes extended) mimicked those of the highest proliferative activity (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 1990; DeWulf and Bottjer, 2002, 2005; London and Schlinger, 2007). The potential for steroid production in the same location as cell proliferation may have the most significance on the organization of song nuclei such as HVC. The newly divided cells that populate HVC have been observed migrating from the zone along the lateral ventricles into HVC.
during development (Burek et al., 1994). Further, the number of these cells was greater in males than in females even before they reached their destination within HVC (Burek et al., 1994). The androgens and estrogens that can be produced by the combination of these factors likely do not appear to directly impact cell proliferation in zebra finches as in other songbirds (Rasika et al., 1994; Williams et al., 1999; Hidalgo et al., 1995; Tramontin et al., 1999) although we note that cell proliferation along the adult lateral ventricle is regulated by corticosteroids (Katz et al., 2008) and DHEA (unpublished observations). Therefore, it may be that neurosteroids impact the survival, differentiation, or migration of newly divided cells that eventually become incorporated into song nuclei. A precedence for several of these mechanisms has been found in other songbirds (Rasika et al., 1999; Williams et al., 1999).

In adult zebra finches, the expression of at least one steroidogenic gene has been measured within each major song nucleus (Table 1). In HVC, there is a medial subregion with very few steroidogenic gene has been measured within each major song nucleus (Table 1). In HVC, there is a medial subregion with very few expression of StAR, CYP11A1, 3α\textsubscript{-}HSD, and CYP17, and 17β-HSD (London et al., 2003, 2006, London et al., unpublished). In RA, the presence of StAR and CYP11A1 has been documented (London et al., 2006). Area X cells express CYP11A1, 3β-HSD, and CYP17, whereas LMAN cells contain StAR, CYP11A1, and 3β-HSD mRNAs (London et al., 2003, 2006). Notably, not all song nuclei express the same complement of steroidogenic factors, suggesting that different neurosteroids within particular regions act to modulate neural function. The specific action of neurosteroids within these regions is unknown. However, these steroids in other systems have been shown to, for example, affect NMDAR and GABAA\textsubscript{R} (Hosie et al., 2007), the major excitatory and inhibitory receptors within the song system. In cultured zebra finch telencephalic neurons, 5α- and 5β-reduced pregnane steroids can allosterically modulate the GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor, whereas estradiol, testosterone, and corticosterone have no effects (Carlisle et al., 1998). It is therefore possible that the steroids produced within the song nuclei exert similar effects on excitatory or inhibitory pathways with functional consequences for fine tuning the circuit for optimal song production. A summary of the current understanding of the expression of the major steroidogenic enzymes and receptors in the song system is presented in Table 1.

2.2. Neurosteroidogenic enzymes: regulation

For lipophilic hormones like sex steroids that are unable to be stored, it is the regulation of their synthesis or their metabolism that ultimately governs their signal strength. Long-term changes in steroid levels, such as those fluctuations seen across seasons, are best achieved by altering expression of genes coding for enzymes of steroidogenesis or metabolism. More rapid changes in steroid concentrations, across hours or even minutes, are best achieved by local and rapid modification of existent enzyme function. There is evidence for both short and long-term regulation of steroidogenic enzymes in the songbird brain.

2.2.1. Long-term regulation

The best studied enzyme regulation involves long-term changes in neural aromatase, which likely produce seasonal fluctuations in estrogen and androgen levels in some song related brain regions (aromatase simultaneously depletes local androgen levels as it converts them into increasing estrogen levels). The expression of the aromatase enzyme is conserved in several brain regions among vertebrates, including the hypothalamus and hippocampus (Forlano et al., 2006; Roselli, 2007; Garcia-Segura, 2008). In many songbirds, the NCM, an auditory processing region akin to mammalian auditory cortex, is especially rich with aromatase. In seasonal breeding species, NCM aromatase is often elevated when singing and auditory song processing are maximal. In male song sparrows (Melospiza melodia morpha), aromatase activity in the NCM is highest in spring, when males are singing the most, as compared to winter or during molt when birds sing less (Soma et al., 2003). Seasonal breeding male canaries show a similar pattern with aromatase expression in subregions of the NCM elevated in April compared to November (Fusani et al., 2000). In wild caught male Lapland Longspurs (Calcarius lapponicus) aromatase activity in the caudal telencephalon that contains NCM is elevated when males sing as they display to females as well as when they mate-guard but is lower when the birds are incubating eggs and singing little (Soma et al., 1999).

In each of these species, NCM aromatase is elevated when circulating testosterone levels are also high suggesting that gonadal testosterone upregulates aromatase expression in the NCM as it does in the avian hypothalamus (Ball et al., 2002). Direct support for this idea came from studies of adult female canaries in which systemic testosterone stimulates and masculinizes song (Shoemaker, 1939). Treatment of female canaries with testosterone was found to increase aromatase expression and activity in the caudal NCM (Fusani et al., 2001). This suggests that in at least some species, aromatase within the song system is sensitive to circulating testosterone so that long-term activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis in males can increase estrogen concentrations in some neural circuits involved in song.

Patterns of aromatase expression in brain regions that impact song differ across species and region (Vockel et al., 1990; Foidart et al., 1998; Soma et al., 1999, 2003; Silverin et al., 2000). From an ultimate perspective, these differences likely reflect variation in the behavioral ecology of song behavior in males of these species. Neurochemically, we must consider alternate regulatory mechanisms, including neurotransmitters, hormones or trophic factors as putative long-term regulators of brain aromatase (zebra finch: Freking et al., 1998). Studies involving birds collected in the midst of complex social contexts must also consider how the rapid modulation of brain aromatase might be superimposed on or might replace long-term regulation as a strategy to alter local estrogen levels (see below).

Aromatase is not the only enzyme potentially subject to long-term regulation in and around song-related neural circuits. Song sparrows of the Pacific Northwest of North America are territorial

Table 1: Expression of steroidogenic enzymes and classical steroid nuclear receptors in song system areas. Results are primarily from in situ hybridization studies in zebra finches (London et al., 2006; Saldanha et al., 2000; Schlinger and Brenowitz, 2002; Metzdorf et al., 1999; Jacobs et al., 1996). Hybridization intensity is shown as high (+++), medium (++), low (+), or undetected (−) for each region, from adult zebra finches of both sexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Song system nucleus</th>
<th>STAR</th>
<th>CYP11A1</th>
<th>3β-HSD</th>
<th>CYP17</th>
<th>Aromatase</th>
<th>Androgen receptor</th>
<th>Estrogen receptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HVC</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMAN</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCM</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++†</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Expression is limited to synaptic terminals.

† Expression is in both synaptic terminals and cell bodies.
and can sing year round despite having basal levels of circulating testosterone outside of the breeding season (Wingfield, 1994). The steroidogenic enzyme 3β-HSD is elevated in the song sparrow NCM of males during the non-breeding season as compared to the breeding season or when birds are molting (Soma and Schlinger, unpublished results). Presumably the androgen DHEA, which circulates at relatively high levels during the non-breeding season in song sparrows (Soma and Wingfield, 2001), is acted on by both 3β-HSD and aromatase to synthesize estrogens in NCM, which could then activate neural circuits that process conspecific song. Mechanisms regulating expression of neural 3β-HSD are unknown, but gonadal steroids may suppress 3β-HSD activity or expression within NCM during the breeding season.

We must also consider novel pathways for the regulation of neurosteroidogenesis in the songbird brain. One candidate signaling system involves nonapeptides such as vasotocin. Peptides in this family exert considerable influence on vertebrate social behavior (Goodson and Adkins-Regan, 1999; Young and Wang, 2004), with evidence in white-crowned sparrows for a stimulatory role in song production (Maney et al., 1997) and for vasotocin binding sites in song related brain regions, including NCM (Leung et al., 2009). Vasotocin can stimulate steroid synthesis in the frog brain (Do-Rego et al., 2006) so it is possible that these peptides function, in part, to stimulate local synthesis of neuroactive steroids in the songbird brain. Additional studies are needed to assess long-term changes in other steroidogenic enzymes in the brains of songbirds.

2.2.2. Acute regulation

In non-songbird avian models, the activity of steroid-producing enzymes has been shown to be regulated on the timescale of minutes in the brain. In male Japanese quail, copulatory behavior (Goodson and Adkins-Regan, 1999; Young and Wang, 2004), with evidence in white-crowned sparrows for a stimulatory role in song production (Maney et al., 1997) and for vasotocin binding sites in song related brain regions, including NCM (Leung et al., 2009). Vasotocin can stimulate steroid synthesis in the frog brain (Do-Rego et al., 2006) so it is possible that these peptides function, in part, to stimulate local synthesis of neuroactive steroids in the songbird brain. Additional studies are needed to assess long-term changes in other steroidogenic enzymes in the brains of songbirds.

2.2.2. Acute regulation

In non-songbird avian models, the activity of steroid-producing enzymes has been shown to be regulated on the timescale of minutes in the brain. In male Japanese quail, copulatory behavior has been linked to acute (within minutes) changes in the activity of enzymes in the brains of songbirds.

We must also consider novel pathways for the regulation of neurosteroidogenesis in the songbird brain. One candidate signaling system involves nonapeptides such as vasotocin. Peptides in this family exert considerable influence on vertebrate social behavior (Goodson and Adkins-Regan, 1999; Young and Wang, 2004), with evidence in white-crowned sparrows for a stimulatory role in song production (Maney et al., 1997) and for vasotocin binding sites in song related brain regions, including NCM (Leung et al., 2009). Vasotocin can stimulate steroid synthesis in the frog brain (Do-Rego et al., 2006) so it is possible that these peptides function, in part, to stimulate local synthesis of neuroactive steroids in the songbird brain. Additional studies are needed to assess long-term changes in other steroidogenic enzymes in the brains of songbirds.

Steroids typically affect cellular function by binding to intracellular nuclear receptors. Once bound to steroid molecules in the cytoplasm, these receptors form dimers, enter the nucleus, and regulate gene expression by binding to specific sequences of DNA, or hormone response elements (HREs) on steroid-responsive genes (Norris, 1985). Nuclear receptors can be abundant within the song circuit (Gahr, 2001; Schlinger and Brenowitz, 2002), and represent the most well-understood mechanism by which neurosteroids act.

In zebra finches, it is androgen receptors (AR), but not estrogen receptors (ER), that delineate song nuclei. This has been shown mostly via autoradiographic binding (Arnold et al., 1976; Arnold and Sattel, 1979; Nordeen et al., 1987a,b) and in situ hybridization studies (Jacobs et al., 1996, 1999; Gahr and Wild, 1997; Gahr and Metzdorf, 1999; Kim et al., 2004). In the zebra finch, one of the primary masculinizing features is the increase in AR expression within song nuclei. It is still unclear how estradiol is masculinizing, but AR within the song system seem to play a role (Grisham et al., 2007). In other songbirds, song system nuclei express ER (Gahr et al., 1993; Nastiuk and Clayton, 1995; Bernard et al., 1999; Gahr and Metzdorf, 1999; Metzdorf et al., 1999; Fusani et al., 2000; Grisham et al., 2007). Again, the functional significance of these differences is unknown. One hypothesis is that, in species that rely more on seasonal variation in singing may also depend more on peripherally synthesized steroids, and it may be these species that show both AR and ER expression within the song system to more fully capture the changes in circulating levels of steroids.

The action of nuclear receptors is not quite as simple as depicted above; their ability to bind HREs can be modulated by other proteins called coactivators and corepressors. The investigation of coactivators is still young and no corepressors have yet been described within the brain, but a couple of steroid receptor coactivators (SRCs) have now been identified in the songbird brain. The L7/SPA (also RPL7) is a SRC that interacts with ERs and progesterone receptors (Jackson et al., 1997), possibly altering effectiveness of estradiol signaling. It has been detected in both developing and adult zebra finches (Duncan and Carruth, 2007). L7/SPA shows different patterns of expression across age and sex, and between mRNA and protein levels, illustrating the dynamic
changes in steroid signaling that can occur within the brain. Interestingly, during early development L7/SPA is expressed along the lateral ventricles, and later in life is expressed within song nuclei (Duncan and Carruth, 2007). Therefore, it is likely that L7/SPA is integral to ERα-mediated signaling and impacts the developmental organization of the song system and possibly its function in adult males.

Another SRC has been investigated in birds: SRC-1. The SRC-1 coactivator can work in concert with several steroid receptors (Onate et al., 1995) and has been demonstrated in vivo to alter neural sexual differentiation in rodents (Auger et al., 2000). Its expression was described in the canary; SRC-1 mRNA was detected throughout the brain, and had high expression levels in all song nuclei except RA (Charlier et al., 2002, 2003). This is intriguing: could it indicate a more important role for regulated steroid receptor-mediated signaling in the sensorimotor vs. the motor component of the song circuit? The potential for SRC-1 to impact song comes indirectly from a study in the quail, a non-songbird. In quail, reduction of SRC-1 in reproductive brain areas caused a decrease in steroid-sensitive behaviors (Charlier et al., 2005). It may be that similar deficits in song production would be caused by minimizing the availability of SRC-1 in the song system.

3.2. Potential non-classical sites for acute steroid actions

There are regions of the songbird brain that exhibit rich expression of steroidogenic enzymes, yet the expression of classical nuclear steroid receptors does not (in some cases) overlap with these local neurosteroid ‘sources’. One interesting example is the auditory region NCM, which has abundant expression of aromatase, but very limited expression of nuclear ERs (Jacobs et al., 1996; Metzdorf et al., 1999). Similarly, unlike in other songbirds, in zebra finches nucleus HVC exhibits relatively reduced ER expression levels, but there is a relative abundance of aromatase in HVC in pre-synaptic terminals (Jacobs et al., 1996; Metzdorf et al., 1999; Peterson et al., 2005). In seasonal breeding songbirds, the estrogen-dependent annual growth of the song system (including HVC and RA) can precede gonadal recrudescence (Tramontin et al., 2001, 2003; Caro et al., 2005), and the relative dearth of classical nuclear receptors again suggests possible non-classical roles for the local production of neurosteroids. These observations, taken together, suggest that locally produced steroids (like estrogens) within the caudal forebrain could be acting via non-classical binding sites and/or receptors. To date, however, there have been no reports of acute (seconds-to-minutes) actions of any steroid in the avian song system.

4. Evidence for production of steroids by the song system

4.1. Systemic injections

The primary direct evidence that the male songbird brain is a major source of steroid production came from a series of studies with zebra finches. Radiolabelled aromatizable androgens were injected into both the jugular and carotid vasculature, and radiolabelled estrogen endproducts (resulting from aromatase activity) were collected and analyzed from cranial (jugular) vs. systemic (carotid) circulation. The predominant recovery of converted estrogens in males came from plasma that had been perfused through the brain via the jugular (Schlinger and Arnold, 1992). Peripheral vasculature (infusion into the carotid) contributed significant estrogens only in females. Remarkably, the conversion of androgens into estrogens by the brain took place within only 5 min of infusion. These studies indicated that the central nervous system of zebra finches contains aromatase activity capable of acutely synthesizing estrogens from androgens.

Given the abundant expression of aromatase in the zebra finch song system (see above) it is likely that a large amount of the estrogens generated in male brain is derived from the song system in these studies.

4.2. In vivo microdialysis

The recent advancement of neurosteroid microdialysis provides spatio-temporal precision in determining the local regulation of the song system in awake songbirds (Remage-Healey et al., 2008). Using microdialysis, it was observed that the NCM of zebra finches exhibits acute changes in local steroid concentrations in vivo. The presence of the neurosteroid estradiol in the brain of males was verified using highly sensitive gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. The passive diffusion of steroids across the dialysis membrane was confirmed using in vitro methods with radiolabelled estradiol. When microdialyzed males were exposed to females in an adjacent cage for 30 min, local estradiol levels increased two-fold within the NCM region, while local testosterone levels remained unchanged. Local estradiol levels then returned to baseline immediately following the exposure to females. Furthermore, during brief periods of auditory activation, forebrain estradiol levels increased and testosterone levels decreased within NCM when males were exposed to other males’ song, but not when they were exposed to white noise or female chirps. These rapid fluctuations were specific to NCM, as they occurred independently from peripheral steroid changes. Fluctuations in forebrain steroids were also exceedingly localized, as acute neurosteroid changes that occurred within NCM were not observed in forebrain circuits that were adjacent to, but outside of, NCM (Remage-Healey et al., 2008).

The measurement of acute changes using in vivo microdialysis therefore provides direct evidence that neurosteroids are produced and fluctuate within the forebrain. Locally produced neurosteroids can change on a moment-by-moment basis, and these changes occur within a critical auditory circuit (NCM) that relays information to the song motor pathway in male zebra finches. These and other findings break down traditional categories for sex steroids like estradiol as purely ‘masculinizing’ or ‘feminizing’ agents, since they have now been shown to be linked to momentary alterations in neural circuit function, much in the way of neuromodulators.

5. Evidence for the actions of brain-derived steroids on the song system

Circulating steroids, particularly testosterone, exert potent effects on the songbird brain, and lead to increases in singing behavior. In some species, experimental removal of the testes has been associated with decreases in singing and regression of song nuclei, and testosterone injection restores song and HVC size (Nottebohm, 1969; Prove, 1974; Arnold, 1975; Heid et al., 1985). As circulating androgens reach the brain, they may be converted to highly potent aromatized- or 5α-reduced-metabolites to exert effects on neural circuits. Because of the evidence for brain-derived steroid production, appreciation has grown recently for the local steroid ‘microenvironments’ that exist in the forebrain of songbirds (see below).

A modern understanding has emphasized that the effects of steroids on cells, circuits and behaviors occur in two separate time domains. The classical ‘long-term’ (hours–weeks) actions of steroids usually involve binding to intracellular receptors which then act as regulators of transcription to produce long lasting effects. The non-classical ‘acute’ (seconds–minutes) actions of steroids usually involve interactions with membrane receptors and/or second messenger pathways which can modulate cellular
function within extremely fast timescales. These temporal criteria are not absolute, however, as there are genomic actions of steroids that can be manifest in as little as 30 min, while some membrane-initiated events can persist for hours or longer. Below, we consider both the long-term and acute actions of brain-derived steroids on the avian song system. Accordingly, long-term steroid actions incorporate those that shape brain function and behavior over timescales ranging from days, to seasons, to the lifetime of the animal. Acute actions, by contrast, are those which affect ongoing behavior via candidate ‘neuromodulatory’ mechanisms. The current evidence is strongly biased toward long-term actions in the song system, in part because acute actions encompass very rapid changes in neurotransmission and ion channel function that shape ongoing behaviors, which have historically been very difficult to study.

5.1. Long-term effects

The firing patterns of neurons in the avian song system can change over the course of the year, presumably to prepare males for the demands of courtship and territorial song in seasonal breeders. Seasonal rhythms in plasma sex steroids have been associated with season-dependent changes in firing patterns (Del Negro and Edeline, 2002) and nucleus volume (Brenowitz and Lent, 2002; Soma et al., 2003; Thompson et al., 2007). In white-crowned sparrows, a seasonal plasticity in neuronal firing patterns has been observed in nucleus RA (Park et al., 2005). Recent work has shown that the seasonality of RA firing patterns requires a transsynaptic signal from nucleus HVC, and that one of the cues that can stimulate HVC to produce this transsynaptic signal is increased circulating testosterone (Meitzen et al., 2007). Using small implantable osmotic pumps, it was observed that the actions of testosterone in HVC also involved activation of either androgen or estrogen receptors to achieve its transsynaptic effects on RA. Therefore, a long-term process of neurosteroid production (estrogen synthesis) could be linked to seasonal fluctuations in motor patterning in the song system.

In addition to seasonal changes in firing patterns, the size of nuclei in the song system can vary over the course of the year in some songbirds. It has generally been assumed that testosterone secretion from the gonads at the beginning of the breeding season provides a neuroendocrine cue for the song system to begin growing, although this has not always been observed. As described above, in some cases seasonal growth of the song nuclei HVC and RA can occur even before the recrudescence of the gonads prior to any increases in circulating androgens (Tramontin et al., 2001; Caro et al., 2005). The contribution of brain-derived steroids to this ‘early’ seasonal growth could be significant, but this hypothesis has not yet been tested.

The immediate-early genes ZENK and Fos are responsive to auditory activation in songbirds, especially within the auditory regions NCM and CMM. Long-term estrogen treatment can alter the immediate-early gene responses to male song in female white-throated sparrows (Maney et al., 2006, 2008), although it is currently unknown if a similar mechanism occurs in males, which would depend on local neurosteroidogenesis for estrogen production and action within the auditory forebrain.

Local estrogen production appears critical for the masculinization of the song circuit in zebra finches, as revealed by in vitro studies of Holloway and Clayton (2001). Cultured slices that contained the forebrain song circuit were maintained for weeks under in vitro conditions and studied for the male-typical formation of synaptic connections between HVC and RA. Treatment of male slices with aromatase inhibitors and estrogen receptor antagonists actively suppressed the HVC-RA connection in males while co-incubating with male slices ‘masculinized’ these connections in slices from females. Lastly, treatment of female slices with estradiol also masculinized their HVC-RA circuit/strongly suggesting that local neurosteroidogenesis is part of the normal male-typical development of the forebrain in zebra finches (Holloway and Clayton, 2001).

Neurosteroids may also interact with neurogenetic mechanisms to shape the sex-specific development of forebrain circuits, as was revealed in an in-depth study of a gynandromorphic zebra finch (Agate et al., 2003). The gynandromorph exhibited laterally asymmetric expression of genes present on the female sex-chromosomes (ZW) on one half of its body (and brain) and male sex-chromosome genes (ZZ) on the other half. The lateralized development of the song system was consistent with that of the male neurogenetic complement on the ‘male’ side. However, it was also observed that the ‘female’ side of the gynandromorphic zebra finch brain had a more masculinized song system than the population distribution normally observed for female zebra finches. Since the bird had a mixture of testicular and ovarian tissue, and its circulating steroid levels were closer to those of normal females than males, the masculinization of the genetically female hemisphere suggested that some neurohormonal factors (perhaps neurosteroids?) produced on the ‘male’ side could have led to some degree of masculinization.

5.2. Acute effects

While there exists strong evidence for acute effects of steroids, particularly estrogens, on the activity of neurons and neural circuits in mammals and teleost fishes (Mermelstein et al., 1996; Qiu et al., 2003; Remage-Healey and Bass, 2007; Woolley, 2007), the evidence for acute (seconds–minutes) effects of steroids on the avian song system is scarce (for rapid estrogen effects on neurosteroid enzymatic activity (see Pradhan et al., 2008). One recent study showed that removal of testosterone implants reduces the size of HVC to that of non-breeding condition after only 12 h in white-crowned sparrows (Thompson et al., 2007). This indicates that elevated steroids help to maintain the seasonally enlarged HVC volume via constitutively active mechanisms that can be rapidly adjusted. It is possible that downstream metabolites of testosterone are responsible for these effects, which would implicate a role for neurosteroids (or their withdrawal) in acute mechanisms of apoptosis and/or cell volume shrinkage.

6. Neurosteroids and neurotransmitter interactions in the song system

We are only beginning to understand how neurosteroids may interact with neurotransmitters and neuropeptides within forebrain circuits in vertebrates. Several lines of evidence indicate that the predominant excitatory and inhibitory amino acids are co-expressed with neurosteroidogenic enzymes in the songbird brain, and that these systems are co-modulatory (see Table 2). Neuropeptides such as vasotocin and opioid peptides are also emerging as potential co-modulators of neurosteroid levels and actions in the songbird brain (Table 2).

Using in vivo microdialysis, reverse-delivery of neurotransmitters into the songbird forebrain (retrodialysis) rapidly alters local steroid levels. Retrodialysis of the excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate acutely suppresses local estradiol levels, while retrodialysis of the inhibitory transmitter GABA causes acute increases in local testosterone levels (Remage-Healey et al., 2008). These results indicate that steroid levels within forebrain circuits are linked to acute actions of conventional neurotransmitters. It remains to be seen how the rich diversity of neurochemicals in the songbird forebrain interact to regulate singing and audition.
Table 2
Reports of interactions between neurosteroids and neurotransmitter/neuropeptide networks in the avian song system. Studies cited include evidence from neuroanatomical, neurophysiological, and neurochemical investigations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurotransmitter/neuropeptide</th>
<th>Neurosteroid/enzyme</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Evidence for interaction</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GABA currents</td>
<td>5α- and 5β-reduced pregnanes</td>
<td>Telencephalon</td>
<td>Electrophysiological</td>
<td>Carlisle et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDA currents</td>
<td>Testosterone/DHT</td>
<td>LMAN, RA</td>
<td>Electrophysiological</td>
<td>White et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDA-receptors</td>
<td>Aromatase</td>
<td>NCM</td>
<td>Anatomical co-localization</td>
<td>Saldanha et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calbindin/GABA</td>
<td>Aromatase</td>
<td>NCM</td>
<td>Anatomical co-localization</td>
<td>Pinaud et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamate/GABA</td>
<td>Androgen/estrogen receptors</td>
<td>NCM</td>
<td>Neurochemical</td>
<td>Remage-Healey et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catecholamines (tyrosine hydroxylase, DSP4)</td>
<td>Androgen/estrogen receptors</td>
<td>HVC/RA/hindbrain</td>
<td>Neurochemical</td>
<td>Maney et al. (2001), Appelants et al. (2003), Barclay et al. (1996), Vyas et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamate</td>
<td>Aromatase</td>
<td>Hypothalamus/ preoptic area</td>
<td>Biochemical</td>
<td>Leung et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasotocin (receptors)</td>
<td>Aromatase , 5β-HSD</td>
<td>NCM</td>
<td>Anatomical co-localization</td>
<td>Gueldge and Deviche (1999), Deviche and Gunturuk (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids (receptors)</td>
<td>Aromatase*</td>
<td>HVC/RA/ICo</td>
<td>Proposed anatomical co-localization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from songbirds, except where noted: *Japanese quail.
* Proposed co-localization based on published aromatase distribution.

7. Conclusions
The aim of this review has been to summarize the findings that demonstrate the remarkable ability of the songbird brain to locally synthesize its own suite of neurosteroids. The classical view of how steroids influence the songbird brain – via long-term effects of hormones secreted from the gonads and adrenals – is not incorrect. However, in light of the most recent findings, this view must be updated to incorporate the understanding that forebrain circuits within and nearby the song system are local participants in their steroid-dependent regulatory and modulatory processes (see also London et al., 2009). A revised understanding of the richness of steroid neurochemistry in songbirds stimulates new ideas and research directions. For example, as described above, the development and sex-dependent differentiation of the song system is clearly influenced by long-term actions of steroids (particularly estrogens). However, a modern understanding of neurosteroid biology makes it likely that as yet un-described changes in local levels of neurosteroids are involved in acute modulation of song learning, auditory encoding, and synaptic plasticity in juvenile birds. With this view in mind, the birdsong model system should continue to make us sit up and take notice of the rich possibilities for the neurochemical regulation of social behavior.
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